

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE00264_Plan ref. HG2-3_1255B Coppicewood Crescent_ Banksfield Mount
Date: 24 October 2015 11:17:02

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to voice my opinions on the proposed plans for the development of 234 houses on Green Belt Land in our community.

Plan ref. HG2-3/1255B Coppicewood Crescent/ Banksfield Mount

I am **strongly** opposed to the proposed plan.

The following quote has been taken directly from;

<http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/>

" Green Belt serves five purposes:

- [if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;
- [if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another;
- [if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;
- [if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and
- [if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.

Once Green Belts have been defined, **local planning authorities should plan positively to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt**, such as looking for opportunities to provide access; to provide opportunities for outdoor sport and recreation; **to retain and enhance landscapes, visual amenity and biodiversity**; or to improve damaged and derelict land. "

If this proposed plan takes place it will contradict the planning guidance in relation to Green Belt land; e.g. " to prevent neighbouring towns merging" - this development would physically join the Guiseley and Yeadon Wards.

Yeadon Banks is our town green, given its status in 2012 decided by the Supreme Court. It is a place where the local community goes for walks, as well as walking their dogs. This proposed plan would forever change this area which has remained untouched for countless years and has been part of our historic town landscape.

Not only are there problems with this plan in regards to using Green Belt Land, but there are also issues with the infrastructure, resources and local amenities within our community.

The current roads aren't wide enough, parking is becoming an issue, and the roads are

already busy:

- rush hour traffic backs up down Queensway
 - the chicanes also create more congestion
 - households currently have on average 2 cars; $234 \times 2 = 468$... 468 additional cars in the area should be a cause for concern and safety, especially if these new 234 houses are intended for young families, more over - there isn't enough space for these cars!
- I strongly believe this development would be detrimental to highway and pedestrian safety.

My family and I object to this proposed plan for further development to our neighbourhood, and hope that you will see that the plan isn't as thought out as it should be in order for it to be given consideration.

Hoping that this may be taken into some consideration when a decision is to be made as to this development with the impact that we feel that this will make to the surrounding area.

Yours sincerely,

Mr Neil Hirst, Mrs Beverley Hirst, Miss Gemma Hirst & Mr Thomas Hirst.

233 Queensway,
Yeadon
Leeds
LS19 7PA