

**From:** .  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE00325\_Site Allocation Letter  
**Date:** 19 October 2015 17:36:09

---

Stephen Gardner  
25 Second Avenue.  
Rawdon, Leeds,  
LS196NH

19th October 2015

I am writing to you concerning the proposed site allocation for housing with reference to sites :-

HG2-41  
HG2-42  
HG2-43  
HG3-2  
HG3-3  
HG3-4  
HG2-12

All the above sites are designated greenbelt and as such are protected, As it is very clear in the Leeds Councils own core strategy plan which in section 3. SPATIAL VISION sets out objectives such as, protecting natural habitats, enhancing biodiversity by creation of new habitats and by improving and extending wildlife corridors.

If the sites mentioned above were to be used this would effectively join Horsforth to Rawdon creating urban sprawl.

The NPPF policy lists five very good reasons for not using greenbelt land, and so Leeds City Councils proposed site allocations as above are inconsistent with national policy and that of Leeds core strategy.

As to services to all these sites how is Leeds City Council to facilitate the infrastructure in terms of roads, schools, shops, dentists and many other amenities that would be needed. No plans or policies or costings have been put up for consultation.

The housing target figures quoted by Leeds are out of date. So by using obsolete housing figures this is inconsistent with both Leeds Core Strategy and the NPPF framework.

Mr Stuart Andrew MP asked the housing minister Mr Brandon Lewis, a question re use of greenbelt I quote his reply "unmet housing needs should not exceed maintaining the greenbelt and this is put forward in the NPPF document".

I believe the use of greenbelt to meet housing needs and numbers is inconsistent with national policy.

I have lived in Rawdon all my life and very much enjoy the free open green space, to lose it for housing needs is totally wrong, it is something that need to be preserved for future generations, once it's gone that's it.