

**From:**  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE00348\_Housing  
**Date:** 16 October 2015 12:12:49

---

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to put my thoughts forward about your housing policy in Rawdon. You say you need **70,000** new homes by 2028, yet you are aware of recent statistics based on the **2011** census making the likely growth to be **64,000** people by **2028**. So why are you going ahead with the greater number? You have proposed sites that are on green belt and worse a **nature reserve**.

I am in favour of utilising the proposed **HG2-41** site as you already have on that side of the ring road **HG1-96 & 97**. The new working of the Horsforth roundabout should give a better flow to the traffic but that is 'a wait and see'. It might have been better to have made it a flyover. I would be in favour of **HG2-42** but not **HG2-43** as this is classed as a **nature reserve**. We need to keep these sites otherwise there will be no safe haven for wildlife.

I am not averse to **HG2-7, HG2-9, HG2-10 or HG2-11**.

My concern for **HG3-2 -3 & 12** is the definition between Rawdon and Horsforth. Also with the crematorium being in the middle, the building work will be disruptive and not conducive to families grief.

I am not averse to **HG3-4** even though this is **Green Belt** and shown as **Strategic Green Infrastructure**.

There will be many discussions and arguments about all the sites as they are mainly on green belt. Personally I would like to see less building of offices and those that have stood empty for years being made into housing. Nowadays work is changing and more is being done at home so we have no need for the office blocks.

Yours faithfully

Prudence Haynes