

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE00556_RE: LSAP & AVLAAP - FORMAL OBJECTIONS MADE DURING PUBLIC CONSULTATION
Date: 09 November 2015 11:02:50
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Robert North
40 Back Lane
Guiseley
Leeds
LS20 8EB

LDF Publication Draft Consultation,
Forward Planning & Implementation,
Leonardo Building,
2 Rossington Street,
Leeds
LS2 8HD

09/11/15

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing in relation to the proposed overbuilding on the greenbelt and other allocated sites in the Leeds area. I have listed below a number of sites that I feel are a concern, not to say that all the others are not a concern but these are the ones that will directly impact my local area and the sites that I know will be affected.

Aireborough	HG2-5	1180A_1311A _2163A	Coach Road/Park Road Guiseley LS20	5.35	98.69	83	Housing Allocation	
Aireborough	HG2-3	1255B	Shaw Lane (land at), Guiseley and Banksfield Mount, Yeadon	LS20	8.92	98.47	234	Housing Allocation
Aireborough	HG2-2	3029	Wills Gill, Guiseley	LS20	5.06	99.86	133	Housing Allocation
Aireborough	HG2-1	3026	New Birks Farm, Ings Lane, Guiseley	LS20	11.33	99.04	298	Housing Allocation
Aireborough	HG2-4	4020	Hollins Hill and Hawkstone Avenue, Guiseley	LS20	3.04	99.84	80	Housing Allocation
Aireborough	HG2-4	4020	Hollins Hill and Hawkstone Avenue, Guiseley	LS20	3.04	99.84	80	Housing Allocation

As a Guiseley resident it is very apparent that there is need and also desire to live in the Aireborough area but as you will see if you visit the area the traffic is now at a critical level and the road network is already well over capacity. Also a major point is the new roundabout at Horsforth which has recently been put to traffic light control, this as I'm sure many other residents will have told you has been a total disaster as far as traffic and travel times is concerned and the development of land in this area will also be of detriment to commuters already on this route. There is already the development at Horsforth Vale that will add upwards of 1000 vehicles to the local roads, the road network and especially the A65 just cannot handle any extra traffic.

Why does the council not look at the area of Colton as a model for development as this was in effect a new town that was built close to major road networks that were capable of handling additional traffic and in addition to this new schools and amenities were put in place as part of the development.

On the point of schools, doctors, dentists etc it has been highlighted by the High Royds redevelopment that the building companies are not abiding by the conditions in the contracts set by the council as schools, shops and all other amenities were promised in that area and because the development was sold on to a third party these essential parts of a thriving community were then dropped from the resale.

I am sure that the council and developers will promise all sorts of extras in addition to the new houses but I am also sure that they will never happen and only the most profitable parts (the houses) will ever happen.


As with other local residents there is not total objection to house building but is the local infrastructure can cope it will have a negative effect on current and new residents.

In a short summary THE ROAD NETWORK CAN NOT COPE WITH 1000s MORE VEHICLES ON ITS ROADS THERE NEEDS TO BE AN ALTERNATIVE.

Thanks

Kind Regards
Rob

Rob North
NAG Account Co-ordinator

Loktec Security Group
 exceeding the industry's highest standards

Mobile: [REDACTED]
DDI: [REDACTED]
Leeds - [REDACTED]
London - [REDACTED]
Glasgow - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Please consider the environment before printing this email.