

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE00558_Leeds Site Allocation Plan - Bramhope
Date: 09 November 2015 10:26:56

Dear Sir/Madam

From: Lesley Hoff
2 Parklands Crescent
Bramhope
LS16 9AQ

HG2 – 17 SHLAA Ref 1080_3367A

I do not agree to housing on this site

- Ecology/Landscape/Trees – This site is in a greenfield area and would effectively take away the greenbelt between Alwoodley and Bramhope, turning the village into urban sprawl. It would also take away a large area of green fields, needed by the Red Kites to survive in this area.
- Highways – No provision is included to alleviate the already dire traffic congestion in Bramhope. It can take six minutes to turn right out of Breary Lane or Breary Lane East. An extra 376 homes will bring more than 376 cars onto this already extremely busy road. The knock-on effect will be felt in Alwoodley, Pool in Wharfedale, Otley and Rawdon/Yeadon as traffic backs up along the A660. It would also take more traffic along Moor Road, as another route to Bradford and parts of Leeds.
- Local Facilities – Bramhope has no post office, bank and a medical centre, whose doctors are shared with Otley.
- School – the primary school's last intake could only accept children living within half a mile of Bramhope. The neighbouring schools are almost at full capacity. How far should a child be expected to travel for education?
- Unallocated sites – I support this site not being allocated.

Core Strategy policy – The Site Allocations plan does not fit with the Core Strategy Policy in that it does not take account of the infrastructure. The Council is not justified in allocating this site as the aim should be to reuse all brownfield sites before encroaching on the green belt and greenfields. The plan is not deliverable as no infrastructure is in place for the traffic congestion or space for schools and medical centres. It is not consistent with the national planning Policy Framework.

The plan is unsound –

- all brownfield sites need to be exhausted before any consideration is given to greenfield sites
- consideration needs to be given to infrastructure before any site is approved = future traffic demands on A660 + King Road and Breary Lane – both used extensively already as rat runs. School capacity, both primary and secondary – how will these be met? How will the needs of the local residents be met with regard to medical issues.

HG2 – 15 SHLAA Ref 3400

I do not agree on housing on this site

- Ecology/Landscape/Trees – This site is in a greenfield area and it would also take away an area of green fields, needed by the Red Kites to survive.
- Highways – Moor Road is already used as a rat run, with motorists taking scant regard for the 30mph limit. More traffic from this site would have a knock-on effect to Breary Lane, Old Lane and the A660.
- Local Facilities – Bramhope has no post office, bank and a medical centre, whose doctors are shared with Otley.
- School – the primary school's last intake could only accept children living within half a mile of Bramhope. The neighbouring schools are almost at full capacity. How far should a child be expected to travel for education?
- Unallocated sites – I support this site not being allocated.

Core Strategy policy – The Site Allocations plan does not fit with the Core Strategy Policy in that it does not take account of the infrastructure. The Council is not justified in allocating this site as the aim should be to reuse all brownfield sites before encroaching on the green belt and greenfields. The plan is not deliverable as no infrastructure is in place for the traffic congestion or space for schools and medical centres. It is not consistent with the national planning Policy Framework.

The plan is unsound –

- all brownfield sites need to be exhausted before any consideration is given to greenfield sites
- consideration needs to be given to infrastructure before any site is approved = future traffic demands on A660 + King Road and Breary Lane – both used extensively already as rat runs. School capacity, both primary and secondary – how will these be met? How will the needs of the local residents be met with regard to medical issues.

HG2 -16 SHLAA Ref 1002

I do not agree to housing on this site

- Ecology/Landscape/Trees – This site is in a greenfield area. It would also take away an area of green fields, needed by the Red Kites to survive.
- Highways – No provision is included to alleviate the already dire traffic congestion in Bramhope. It can take six minutes to turn right out of Breary Lane or Breary Lane East. An extra 376 homes will bring more than 376 cars onto this already extremely busy road. The knock-on effect will be felt in Alwoodley, Pool in Wharfedale, Otley and Rawdon/Yeadon as traffic backs up along the A660. It would also take more traffic along Moor Road, as another route to Bradford and parts of Leeds.
- Conservation Area – adjacent to the Bramhope Conservation Area and adjacent to a listed building – the Old Farmhouse. This site also has a key mid-distance view.
- Local Facilities – Bramhope has no post office, bank and a medical centre, whose doctors are shared with Otley.
- School – the primary school's last intake could only accept children living within half a mile of Bramhope. The neighbouring schools are almost at full capacity. How far should a child be expected to travel for education?
- Unallocated sites – I support this site not being allocated.

Core Strategy policy – The Site Allocations plan does not fit with the Core Strategy Policy

in that it does not take account of the infrastructure. The Council is not justified in allocation this site as the aim should be to reuse all brownfield sites before encroaching on the green belt and greenfields. The plan is not deliverable as no infrastructure is in place for the traffic congestion or space for schools and medical centres. It is not consistent with the national planning Policy Framework.

The plan is unsound –

- all brownfield sites need to be exhausted before any consideration is given to greenfield sites
- consideration needs to be given to infrastructure before any site is approved = future traffic demands on A660 + King Road and Breary Lane – both used extensively already as rat runs. School capacity, both primary and secondary – how will these be met? How will the needs of the local residents be met with regard to medical issues.

Finally, from a heritage point of view, if these sites are used, it takes away the knowledge from our children of village life.

Yours faithfully

Lesley Hoff



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
www.avast.com