

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Cc:
Subject: PDE00565_CORE STRATEGY. SITE ALLOCATION PLAN
Date: 09 November 2015 00:44:14

I am writing to express objection to the above as an Aireborough resident

There are 2 fundamental issues with regard to the suggested need for the proposed housing development and Airport Link Road

What is the justification to construct 70,000 houses
Who has dictated this and on what basis. Has there been an increase in the birth rate or is there a local population explosion forecast?
Why are we not been provided with this information
Are the authority simply accepting what seems to be an arbitrary number
A detailed reasoning should be presented to the public consultation supporting why the authority seem to accepting what appears to be a notional number

In the consultation document a proposed link road to the airport is shown
What is the justification for this? Where is the traffic data to support this supposed requirement
Road traffic to the airport only accounts for a relatively small proportion of the total traffic on the 3 principal approach roads to the airport
The authority has, it would seem disregarded the solution of a halt /parkway station on the nearby railway in favour of a green belt intrusive,expensive new road which will exacerbate the A65 overcapacity congestion problems

With regard to HG2-41 ,HG3-3, HG3-2,HG2-12 ,HG3-4
What are the exceptional circumstances which would allow development on green belt land
We are not been given the justification for this as per my opening paragraph
Apart from an unjustified and unsustainable assault on green belt land.
Were this to go ahead ,where would the support in terms of education ,healthcare and infrastructure come from? More to the point what would be the effect on the critically overloaded A65

The preparation of this allocation plan as a solution to a perceived housing problem the magnitude of which is challenge able is not positively prepared
The development proposed is not in accordance with NPPF provisions for Protecting Greenbelt,Conserving National Environment and Conserving Historic Environment

Again I would emphasise the need to challenge and find detailed justification for more housing of anything like the suggested amount in the Leeds area and this area in particular
Secondly any intrusion into the green belt
An absolute embargo on any development which would add any further traffic to the A65
The rail solution to the airport transport link.

Robert Allewell
CANADA Drive RAWDON

Sent from my iPad