

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Cc: [Stuart Andrew.MP](#)
Subject: PDE00570_Greenbelt
Date: 08 November 2015 22:14:27

Joan Kendall
23, Belmont Grove
Rawdon, Leeds.
LS19 6AL

Re: Leeds Site Allocation Plans
Formal Objection
5th November 2015

Dear Sir/Madam, "KEEP RAWDON /HORSFORTH GREEN"

As part of the Leeds Site Allocation Plan public consultation, I would like to formally object to the proposed development of the following sites.

HG2-12
HG2-41
HG3-2
HG3-3
HG3-4

Development of the treasured Green Belt Areas is not justified.

The proposed development sites are not consistent with the National Policy specifically with regard to the Green Belt. Leeds must continue to protect our Green Belt and green fields. Leeds Country Way (a key regional route) runs through and alongside (HG2-12, HG3-2, HG3-3) I chose to live here in Rawdon over 50 years ago because of the open green spaces for walking (which I am told now by the government is good for my overall health). The green spaces has an abundance of wildlife (dragonfly, birds, deer crested newts, owls, hawks amongst others) It would isolate wildlife and destroy habitats.

The A65 is already full and over capacity. I used to work in Leeds but it was taking one and a half hours to get there in the morning. I had to give up. If more traffic is introduced to the A65 it would be a disaster! If more houses were built on Green Belt the infra structure couldn't cope.

Development is not Effective

Because the phasing of HG2-12 is undeliverable. It has been incorrectly categorised as allocated and cannot be delivered. Development is not legally compliant. Has there been any consultation on HG2-12 prior to it being allocated to housing in Housing Site Allocations Plan?

Development is not positively prepared.

Site Allocations Plan for these Rawdon Sites are not based on a Comprehensive Green Belt Review. The Core Strategy and Site Allocations Plan are unsound due to the selective Greenbelt review by LCC.

The Development is not in accordance with the NPPF.

Especially Chapter 9 (Protecting Green Belt) Ch. 11 - Conserving Natural Environment
Ch. 12 Conserving Historic Environment.

I am sure alternatives can be found (Brownfield) which would not harm the Greenbelt sites.

11 sites which involve the loss of open spaces are adjacent to Conservation Areas (6 within a conservation area)

In the case of this Local Plan there has been absolutely no evaluation of what impact the loss of these currently open areas and their subsequent development might have upon those heritage assets.

On these and other grounds I hope you can object on my behalf and reject the development of these Greenbelt Sites within the development proposals.

Please keep me informed of times and opportunities to present my objections to the planning review.

Yours faithfully,
Joan Kendall

Sent from my iPad