

From: .
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE00574_response to outer south east SAP
Date: 08 November 2015 21:17:42

Further to your meeting in Garforth where the SAP was presented I would like to make the following comments:

- 1: I support the dropping of proposals for Houses in the green belt previously suggested to the north west of Aberford Road at Garforth and I hope my previous comments had an effect on such outcomes..

- 2: There is a distinct lack of usable accessible greenspace in the Garforth area and we appear to be the only area in the Metropolitan District that have to pay to gain entry to our nearest accessible large greenspace at Lotherton. Shouldn't this problem of accessible greenspace be addressed before greater pressure is placed on the limited resources that the extra development at Stourton Grange will have? Will the derelict Garden Centre site be added to the development area making it even greater in size looking to be nearly half the size of Garforth?

- 3: The large development HG2-124 in the green belt will at one go compromise Green Belt Policy in Joining the settlements of Garforth and Micklefield. What is the point of Green Belt policy if settlements are allowed to converge?

- 4: The eastern Boundary of HG-124 is very close to the Peckfield waste tip and I believe there have been news reports of instances in the past of alleged health problems emanating within the area? Are any health statistics, if they are available used in assessing proposed housing sites given the previous publicity of alleged health issues.

- 5: The main gas grid as I remember from its development runs within the site along the eastern boundary. I hope the Developers are not allowed to utilise their greenspace requirements on such unusable land i.e. trees can't be planted within a distance of the line, and that the open space has a positive and beneficial use.

Regards
M Smith
3 Kings Croft
Garforth