

**From:**  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE00612\_SAP Site 1255B  
**Date:** 08 November 2015 12:39:52

---

I am opposed to the allocation of Site 1255B for housing for the reasons listed below;

- 1, Loss of a well used and historic local open landscape.
- 2, Vehicular access to the site ( for construction and eventually householders) according to your own proposal would be via existing residential streets where parking, layout of streets and the proximity of Queensway School would mean further traffic reaching dangerous and unacceptable levels. The speed limit has recently been reduced to 20 mph in an attempt to make these streets safer.
- 3, The peak time and weekend traffic flow on the entire length of Queensway (which is the only vehicular access to the site) is now ridiculous. Air pollution, noise pollution, pedestrian/cyclist safety and emergency vehicle will be compromised on this road if the site is developed.
- 4, Despite your report stating that local amenities (schools, health centres, rail links) can cope, this is far from the truth with all these facilities reaching capacity.

I can understand the need for additional housing in this area but if existing greenbelt land is to be used why not use land away from existing areas whose services and amenities are under pressure already. This would enable road, healthcare and education infrastructure to be developed from scratch with future expansion of a site in mind. There are plenty of greenbelt sites nearer the Leeds/Bradford Airport site ( a primary employment area) where a "fresh development" would make more sense.

MARK WILSON

25 Banksfield Mount,  
Yeadon LS 19 7LL

*Mark Wilson  
Locksmith,  
Estate Services,  
The University of Leeds,  
Woodhouse Lane,  
LEEDS LS2 9JT.*