

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE00671_Response to LDF Publication draft consultation - Leeds Site Allocation Plan
Date: 06 November 2015 10:00:41
Importance: High

**Response to LDF Publication draft consultation - Leeds Site Allocation Plan
Section HG-2-1 (3026) New Birks Farm Ings Lane Guiseley, Leeds.**

Dear Sir/Madam,

I disagree with this site being used for any housing and I do not consider this plan to be sound, thoroughly thought through or positively prepared.

I believe that this plan is not justified as there is still plenty of Brown sites, in the local area available for development. Any "Green site" should only be considered when all Brown sites have been allocated and exhausted.

I believe that the plan is not positively prepared with there been not enough facilities in the local area to cope with the proposed increase in houses. There has been no consideration on the impact on local facilities, amenities and service's. Where are the plans in place for more doctors surgeries or dentists? If you are lucky enough to get an appointment, its usually a week down the line due to overstretched services.

Everyone local resident who has children is aware of the lack of primary school places. How will these extra houses effect the ability to get your child into your preferred school?

Local roads are at breaking point, with Guiseley at a stand still on weekends.

I believe the plan is not effective. It would appear that Leeds and Bradford councils are not collaborating when planning where housing is planned in terms of roads and traffic congestion. Developments in Menston, Burley and Apperley bridge will all have an adverse effect on the A65. has enough consideration, thought and planning gone into this?

Leave our Green spaces alone - Council and individuals should be held responsible and to account. There is no need to develop these green sites at present as there is still plenty of Brown sites available.

I believe that the plan is not legally compliant as Leeds City Council have not fulfilled their duty of community involvement. The document was written in 2007 and is now out of date.

No genuine attempt has been made by the council to engage with the local community or to discuss with them and help them understand what is being proposed and why.

I believe that Leeds City Council need to apply the following to make the plan sound

- * Reduce the housing target from 70,000 to 44,000 (ONS data)
- * Abide by the national planning policy Framework (NPPF)
- * Start the plan again, from scratch, with a better methodology - BROWNSITES FIRST!
- * Have an infrastructure plan in place BEFORE site allocation plans.
- * Carry out a comprehensive green belt review.
- * Engage and TALK to local communities.
- * Cooperate with Bradford Council

Finally, I appreciate the need for more housing, however proper planning and infrastructure needs to be in place. Local roads and services are already creaking under the strain. Plonking more housing on an available plot of land is simply not the answer and should not be tolerated.

I would like acknowledgement of my response and to be informed of the submission of the plan for public examination and/or the adoption.

Yours Sincerely

MR Philip Newis
8 Alva Court
Guiseley
Leeds
LS20 9PZ