

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE00798_Proposed new housing for Aireborough
Date: 03 November 2015 15:27:26

My name is Ken Hudson of 35 Rufford Drive, Yeadon Leeds LS19 7QZ.

I wish to object to the proposed housing allocation of 2300 houses for Aireborough. Apart from one site, all of the sites are on greenbelt land. There are many brownfield sites in other parts of Leeds, which could be built on; all of the brownfield sites in Aireborough have already been built on. I understand, that in the last 10 years, well over 2000 houses have been built in Aireborough. Builders do not want to build on brownfield sites, because of the cost of site clearance and because they are often not in the most desirable parts of Leeds. I do not believe that Leeds City Council has formulated these plans, in conjunction with the neighboring council of Bradford. This is very relevant to Aireborough, as Bradford Council have given their consent to many houses being built on Aireborough's boundary, e.g. Apperley Bridge. Our two main roads, the A65 and the A658 are already heavily congested and with the proposed growth of the airport, are going to be gridlocked. Leeds City Council has no plans to improve the infrastructure in Aireborough and many hundreds more cars will be running on inadequate roads.

In addition the schools are already full and there is no spare capacity in the local dentists and doctors. No provision has been made for an expansion of these services. In rush hour, school run times and weekends, these roads are full of stationary vehicles. With these vehicle emissions, air quality has suffered.

I wish specifically to object to

Site 1255B (HG2-3) Banksfield/Coppice Wood. There is a single access to the site, via Banksfield Mount, which is a cul de sac. All of the extra traffic would have to feed into Queensway, which is already very busy, as people use it as a by-pass for the congested A65. Traffic would run through an existing residential estate, causing noise and disturbance. This estate already has many parked cars, as some of the houses do not have drives, or enough spaces on their drives. Planning permission has previously been refused, due to it being in the green belt, inadequate access, loss of residential amenity, landscape character and detrimental to highway and pedestrian safety.