

**From:**  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE00866\_Leeds Draft Site Allocations Plan Consultation 2015 -HG2 144  
**Date:** 16 November 2015 12:57:30

---

We refer to the above document, and in detail the area HG2 144 for housing allocation.

This area is on Old Lane, Nethertown, and we would like object to the loss of green belt, and believe that there are brown field sites within the Leeds boundaries that should be built on first prior to any green belt being surrendered.

The residents have already had the indignity of having Nethertown stables HG1 333 approved for building on shortly, which to our mind, planning was passed by a loop hole, and will change the quiet nature of our village.

To say that this new area of land HG1 144 is an infill is incorrect, as firstly it is classed as green belt, and the houses either side are very different. Jubilee Crescent is a row of terrace house and the other side have large detached housing. A fact that makes Old Lane an estate free village. This proposal will also prevent the openness of our Greenbelt towards Gildersome from the main part of the village. This is in contravention of NPPF paragraph 79, and would completely change the aesthetics of the south side of the village.

As per our comments on the Nethertown stables development, if this development did go ahead the amount of traffic this would put onto an already very narrow Old Lane would cause problems and is potentially a health and safety issue on the T- junction.

We already feel let down by the planning permission granted at Nethertown Stables so think if this new potential development was passed, it would be a step too far, and would completely destroy Nethertown as a village.

Yours faithfully

Stephen and Andrea Rose  
Tong Village View  
Old Lane  
Nethertown  
Drighlington  
BD11 1LU

Sent from my iPad by Andrea & Stephen Rose