

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE01139_Leeds Site Allocation Plan
Date: 15 November 2015 21:31:01

My name is Angela Betts of 38A Heathfield, LEEDS LS16 7AB

I am writing to comment on the Leeds Site Allocation Plan.

I wish to comment on the following sites identified by Plan References: HG2-34, HG2-18, HG2-38.

HG2-34

I support the inclusion of this site and the proposals made.

HG2 – 18

I object to the inclusion of this site. As I have raised in earlier consultations this site is crucial to the unique setting of Adel Church, the setting of which is of international significance. It should be kept as Green Belt land as there are no compelling reasons not to do so.

HG2 – 38

I object to the inclusion of this site on the grounds that it is Green belt. There are brownfield sites which can be developed and there has been no Leeds wide consultation, I am aware of, to redesignate any Green Belt.

Unallocated Sites

In terms of unallocated sites I support this non allocation for similar reasons as above for sites reference 1243,1246,1299,2052,3360B,4153,4159,4251,1079 and 1178B.

I also support development of sites HG2-30, HG2-31, HG2-32.

Overall Comments

As regards the Plan I believe the allocation of sites in this region of Leeds is unsustainable as the infrastructure will not support it, schools capacity is insufficient (at starting age) and there are brownfield sites which should be used first.

It is also perhaps notable that during the consultation period (ie: today) the web site with information was unavailable.

I also believe that for this area on the current edge of the city the proposed densities are far too high and experience of the recent local developments is that inappropriate dwellings are being built. At a time of gross overall housing shortage clearly developers will be able to sell whatever they build. BUT the area needs much more affordable homes and many more homes into which older people can easily downsize.

Angela Betts