

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE01232_Objection re Leeds Site Allocation Plans
Date: 15 November 2015 18:51:46

LDF Publication Draft Consultation,
Forward Planning & Implementation,
Leonardo Building,
2 Rossington Street,
Leeds
LS2 8HD

Email: sap@leeds.gov.uk

15 November 2015

RE: LEEDS SITE ALLOCATION PLANS - FORMAL OBJECTION

Dear Sir / Madam,

As part of the Leeds Site Allocation Plan public consultation process, I would like to formally object to the proposed development of the following sites:

HG 2-4 (Hollins Hill) – this is the closest site to myself

HG 2-5 (Coach Road).

HG 2-6 (Silverdale/Coach Road allotments)

HG3-1 (Ings Lane)

It is my understanding that the proposed development sites are NOT consistent with the national policy, specifically with regards to Green Belt. Leeds must continue to protect our Green Belt and green fields and it is my belief that the site allocations plan is unsound because the Council has not carried out a genuinely comprehensive Green Belt Review..

The fields and open green spaces, which are being proposed for development, are important to me because I have lived I Guiseley now for 22 years. The changes in the area over this time has been dramatic, from new housing estates, to large retail parks. Guiseley already can **NOT** cope with the traffic issues it has now, not just at rush hour but even at weekends. The A65 in particular shows that the area already is saturated with traffic. Not only this, but losing any Greenfield sites endangers wildlife, open spaces for the children and threatens to destroy our countryside, and in turn our well-being. I believe in encouraging health and exercise and love nothing more than a walk through our fields and open spaces. The junction at the top of our Road (Park Road going into Bradford Road) is already so busy and it is dangerous for the children to cross when going to school, further development at HG 2-4 will only make matters worse and we will lose what little fields we have there.

In summary, this is already an area that cannot cope with numbers of cars nor has the infrastructure nor capacity for further development, the trains are already full to beyond capacity at busy times. The countryside should be maintained for our children – the wildlife encouraged , the environment maintained. Guiseley is already losing its soul due to the amount of traffic and number of houses. Guiseley also does not fit the requirement for affordable housing, the new houses being built in the area averaging well over £400000 which is far beyond the reach of first time buyers.

These sites should continue to be part of Leeds' 'Strategic Green Infrastructure' as identified in the Adopted Core Strategy.

It is my understanding that the proposed development sites are NOT consistent with the national policy, specifically with regard to infrastructure. Leeds has insufficient policies in place to address the issues that these developments will generate. Development and infrastructure requirements must be considered side by side.

Development of these sites on greenfields will lead to nearby villages being engulfed within the 'Main Urban Area' and they will no longer be separate 'Major Settlements' of their own. Reference, Adopted Core Strategy (Map 3, Settlement Hierarchy).

My concerns also include issues highlighted in the letter sent to you dated 21 October 2015 from the Historic England which basically says that the plan is unsound .

Please keep me informed of the public examinations, submission of the plans and potential outcome of the review as I would like to retain my right to attend and speak.

Yours faithfully,

Meyrick Jones
87 Park Road
Guiseley
Leeds

LS20 8EN