

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE01367\_Leeds Site Allocation Plans - Objection letter HG2-80  
**Date:** 15 November 2015 15:55:37  
**Attachments:** [Objections to SAP Nov 15 2015.docx](#)

---

To Whom it May Concern

Please find my letter of objection within the body of this email and attached with regard to the proposed development site of HG2-80, Troydale Lane and Acres Hall Avenue, Pudsey.

Regards  
Helen Hart

Helen Hart

LDF Publication Draft Consultation	66 Troydale Lane
Forward Planning & Implementation	Pudsey
Leonardo Building	LS28 9LD
2 Rossington Street	15 <sup>th</sup> November 2015

Leeds LS2 8HD

Dear Sir / Madam,

RE: Leeds Site Allocation Plans

I wish to oppose the proposed housing development on HG2-80. As a local resident living on Troydale Lane the proposed development will massively impact on my family life and the local area and should be excluded from the plans. My objections are as follows:

Pudsey has been subject to a lot of new housing developments over the last 5 years, building on what little greenbelt land there is left in the area. It is alarming that further greenbelt land is being proposed for further development sites and Pudsey is clearly being disproportionately affected by on-going development.

Projections from 2008 are being used by Leeds City Council to underpin the plans and according to Stuart Andrews MP (2014) these are out of date resulting in a significant over estimation of the number of houses required. Clear guidance has been published by The Department for Communities and Local Government (2014) that included the need to protect green belt land. I acknowledge that housing development is essential to support the on-going development of Leeds as a city but not at the expense of building on precious green fields rather than using brownfield sites.

The proposed site would have an incredibly negative effect on the rural character of Troydale Lane/Acres Hall Avenue. With a government emphasis on people taking responsibility for their own health and well being the destruction of this field would have a devastating effect on the local population, including my own family. There appears to have been no consideration about the conservation of the natural environment, the effect on local living conditions including the views and

privacy of those living nearby. The number of houses indicated in the proposal is significant at 99 houses, which would cause the area to be an eyesore and destroy the natural views and become completely over-populated having an enormous detrimental effect on the environment.

The proposed site is a beautiful green open space with a wide range of wildlife including foxes and owls. The proposed development would lead to the destruction of their habitats. The field enables residents, including my own family to enjoy a healthy lifestyle, be able to take regular exercise since it enables access to the local woods, play, relax and experience the outdoors.

There are numerous brownfield sites across the city that Leeds City Council could invest in, providing much needed regeneration and not over populating already heavily developed areas.

Due to Pudsey been subject to a significant number of new housing developments, there has already been a devastating effect on local services and facilities. Leeds has insufficient policies and realistic plans in place to address and meet the demands on the local infrastructure that the proposed plan will generate.

The waiting times to access local Primary Care health services, such as a GP are incredibly lengthy and the impact and demand on wider secondary care health services is enormous. Health services do not have the resources to meet the demand of the ever-increasing population of Pudsey.

The lack of school places, particularly primary school places. There is already a significant shortage of places available in schools in Pudsey and proposed plans to build another school or extend current provision would still not provide easily accessible school places for the further 99 family houses proposed and will only exacerbate this problem beyond comprehension.

Troydale Lane already experiences a thoroughfare of traffic, which has led to potholes been a major issue. The majority of the road has recently been resurfaced, although the part of the road where the proposed site is hasn't been resurfaced. I am not sure whether to think this is purely coincidence or planned? Pudsey is a busy town and at times already struggles to cope with the volume of traffic; the area of Troydale Lane and Acres Hall Avenue is not well placed to cope with such a high number of cars that this proposed development would generate. There are no plans in place to address the flooding that is experienced at that part of the road during bad weather. It is of great concern that the proposed development could lead to an increase in this issue.

I emailed Richard Lewis on the 24<sup>th</sup> September following receipt of a mailing shot delivered by a local resident regarding the proposal. This was the first time I had even been aware of the consultation, clearly demonstrating the lack of awareness of local residents of Leeds City Council plans for the

proposed development. Incidentally I am still waiting for a reply from Richard Lewis, despite following it up with a telephone call.

I hope that based on these objections that you are able to act on my behalf and reject the progression of the proposed development. I would like to be kept informed of any opportunities to present my objections, public examinations, the submission of plans and the potential outcome of the review.

Yours faithfully

Helen Hart