

**From:**  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE01507\_response to sites  
**Date:** 15 November 2015 11:12:24

---

Please see my response to site allocations .

Ref site HG2-129

The site is highly suitable for an older persons development due to it's proximity to the shops etc. there are access and egress problems . site urgently needs developing to prevent it becoming an eyesore . Agree with proposed use.

Ref site HG2 – 128

This site would lead to coalescence with Garforth should the West Garforth by pass ever be built , we would prefer bungalows on this site but access is once again the problem as Roach Grange Lane is a cart track . Disagree with development on this site.

Ref site HG2 – 132

This site is recommended for older persons development which would presumably mean bungalows, the site itself is unstable due to opencast workings . there are electricity pylons on site also. This site is unsuitable for the use as indicated as it is not on a bus route and is situated at the bottom of a steep hill into the village centre. Disagree with proposed use but agree it should be developed.

Ref site HG2 – 130

This site includes a grade 2 listed building which is in urgent need of repair. the site, off the High Street would be suitable for a mixed use development, i,e, shops and flats. It was proposed as a village community space . Agree with development on this site but any development should include community space

Ref site HG2 – 124

The development of this site would adversely affect traffic on the A 63 and create urban sprawl almost creating another village . Disagree with development to this site strongly

Ref the plan generally

Yes the plan is sound as it has been subject to scrutiny, I feel that Kippax seems to have been singled out as an area for older persons development, this will be detrimental for the future of our village.

I feel that it is consistent with national policy also.

W.D.Morley  
126 Valley Ridge  
Kippax  
LS25 7DS

