

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE01685_New housing in Horsforth & Rawdon
Date: 13 November 2015 21:07:41

Dear sirs

Site: HG2-41 - Strawberry Fields

I object to the above development on the grounds that this is green belt and its removal would be unsound (not in line with NPPF) and not legal as the inclusion of the site has previously not been consulted on.

I also object to the above development on the grounds that it is not justified and is unsound as there are alternatives that will provide lower cost housing.

I have been unaware of the plans up to this point for the above development therefore is not legal as proper consultation has not been carried out.

I further object as local roads are currently overwhelmed by the number of vehicles in use.

Additional housing in close proximity to the above site will make matters significantly worse.

Site: HG2-42 - Broadway & Calverley

I object to the above development on the grounds that this is green belt and its removal would be unsound (not in line with NPPF) and not legal as the inclusion of the site has previously not been consulted on.

I also object to the above development on the grounds that it is not justified and is unsound as there are alternatives that will provide lower cost housing.

I have been unaware of the plans up to this point for the above development therefore is not legal as proper consultation has not been carried out.

I further object as local roads are currently overwhelmed by the number of vehicles in use.

Additional housing in close proximity to the above site will make matters significantly worse.

HG2-43 Horsforth Park Lane Campus

I object to the above development on the grounds that this is green belt and part green belt and its removal would be unsound (not in line with NPPF) and not legal as the inclusion of the site has previously not been consulted on.

I also object to the above development on the grounds that it is not justified and is unsound as there are better alternatives.

I have been unaware of the plans up to this point for the above development therefore is not legal as proper consultation has not been carried out.

I further object as local roads are currently overwhelmed by the number of vehicles in use.

HG3-4

I object to the above development on the grounds that this is green belt and its removal would be unsound (not in line with NPPF) and not legal as the inclusion of the site has previously not been consulted on.

I also object to the above development on the grounds that it is not justified and is unsound as there are alternatives that will provide lower cost housing.

I have been unaware of the plans up to this point for the above development therefore is not legal as proper consultation has not been carried out.

I further object as local roads are currently overwhelmed by the number of vehicles in use.

Additional housing in close proximity to the above site will make matters significantly worse.

HG3-2

I object to the above development on the grounds that this is green belt and its removal would be unsound (not in line with NPPF) and not legal as the inclusion of the site has previously not been consulted on.

I also object to the above development on the grounds that it is not justified and is unsound as there are alternatives that will provide lower cost housing.

I have been unaware of the plans up to this point for the above development therefore is not legal as proper consultation has not been carried out.

I further object as local roads are currently overwhelmed by the number of vehicles in use.

Additional housing in close proximity to the above site will make matters significantly worse.

HG2-12

I object to the above development on the grounds that this is green belt and its removal would be

unsound (not in line with NPPF) and not legal as the inclusion of the site has previously not been consulted on.

I also object to the above development on the grounds that it is not justified and is unsound as there are alternatives that will provide lower cost housing.

I have been unaware of the plans up to this point for the above development therefore is not legal as proper consultation has not been carried out.

I further object as local roads are currently overwhelmed by the number of vehicles in use.

Additional housing in close proximity to the above site will make matters significantly worse.

Yours faithfully

Julie Hoyle