

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE01839_Leeds site allocation plan
Date: 13 November 2015 12:15:24

Sheona Harper
52 The Rowans
Bramhope
LS169DZ

To whom it may concern,

I would like to make comments on the Leeds Site Allocation Plan. The sites I wish to make comment on are; HG2-15 (3400) Green Acres and Equestrian Centre, HG2-16 (1002) Creskeld Lane and HG2-17 (1080_3367A) Breary Lane East.

I do not agree with the proposed sites being allocated for housing. This would lead to a disproportionate increase in the village size and will lead to an unacceptable loss of the green belt and green fields. I feel this will impact negatively on the local ecology, landscape and conservation area. I feel the A660 will not be able to cope with this scale of development, there is already traffic congestion here and we have a lack of infrastructure to cope with such an increase. There is insufficient capacity within the local schools to meet the needs of children including those moving into the area and would require some to have to travel out of area to be educated. I understand neighbouring schools are already facing capacity issues.

I also wish to comment on the five sites previously considered for housing but not allocated on the plan; 1181A Land at the Sycamores Site A, 1181B Land at the Sycamores Site B, 1204 Land at Manor Farm, 3434 Land at Green Acres, 3367B Leeds Road Site B. I include my support for them not being allocated. I do not feel the plan is sound. The sites should remain in the greenbelt.

I do not feel the plan for proposed housing is sound. The strategic aim should be reuse of brownfield before green field and green belt. It does not take account of infrastructure. Many of the sites are not suitable particularly because the infrastructure is not in place and cannot be delivered, e.g. no space for schools, roads already congested. As the above tests have not been satisfied it cannot be consistent with National Planning Policy Framework.

In order to make the plans sound I think all brownfield sites in Leeds need to be exhausted before any consideration is given to greenfield sites. The plans need to be economically, environmentally and socially sustainable and a site should be suitable for Older People's Housing. Consideration needs to be given to infrastructure need before any site is approved e.g. school capacity- primary and secondary, determination of future highways demand and how this will be met, ability of local primary health structure to meet anticipated needs of local population. In Leeds' case the land does not meet any exceptional circumstances, which would justify it being taken out of green belt.

I would like to nominate my local councillor (Barry Anderson) to be my representative at the public enquiry.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr Sheona Harper