

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE02062\_Leeds Site Allocation Plan - FORM OBJECTION  
**Date:** 11 November 2015 21:21:51  
**Importance:** High

---

Dear Sirs,

As part of the Leeds Site Allocation Plan public consultation process, I would like to formally object to the proposed development of the following sites: HG2-41 (424) Fields south of A65 from Horsforth and Rawdon to crematorium.

It is my understanding that the proposed development sites are NOT consistent with the national policy, specifically with regard to Green Belt. Leeds must continue to protect our Green Belt and green fields. The fields and open green spaces, which are being proposed for development, are important to me because we live in the immediate area. **The traffic on the A65 is terrible even now, once development of houses in this area there will be total grid lock. Also cars coming out of Rawdon Cemetery cause problems to residents on Woodlands Drive. There is only one exit onto the A65 and this is opposite where the development will take place on Knott Lane. The road off Knott Lane onto the A65 is an absolute death trap. Getting out of that road junction is a major hazard already BEFORE the houses are in situ. Does there need to be fatalities at this junction before anything is done about it at this moment in time?**

I also object to HG2-42 (1016) fields at Broadway and Calverley Lane, Horsforth.

It is my understanding that the proposed development sites are NOT consistent with the national policy, specifically with regard to infrastructure. Leeds has insufficient policies in place to address the issues that these developments will generate. Development and infrastructure requirements must be considered side by side. Development of these sites on green fields will lead to nearby villages being engulfed within the 'Main Urban Area' and they will no longer be separate 'Major Settlements' of their own. Reference, Adopted Core Strategy (Map 3, Settlement Hierarchy). My concerns include lack of schools for the new residents, GP surgeries over subscribed, traffic congestion causing massive traffic delays, loss of green fields and natural habitat for the wild life in the area (including wild deer).

**Can we not utilise the run down and uninhabited properties that frequent certain areas in Leeds? These are existing buildings that give the opportunity for low income families to purchase. Why are you building 3, 4 and 5 bedroom houses that only certain income families can afford?**

Please keep me informed of the public examinations, submission of the plans and potential outcome of the review as I would like to retain my right to attend.

Sharon Shaw  
Clinic Director  
The Tower Clinic

Tel: [REDACTED]  
Fax: [REDACTED]

Web: [www.towerclinic.co.uk](http://www.towerclinic.co.uk)



IMPORTANT NOTICE: This email and any attachments are intended for the above named addressee only and may be confidential. If you have received this message in error, please do not take any action based upon this message. Do not copy the message or show it to anyone. Please contact [sharonshaw@towerclinic.co.uk](mailto:sharonshaw@towerclinic.co.uk) immediately to inform that you have received an email in error.

WARNING: Email is not a secure medium of communication. Please bear this in mind when emailing me. Although this email and attachments are believed to be free from any virus, it is your responsibility to ensure that emails and attachments are virus free on receipt.

The Tower Clinic is owned and operated by Industria Medica Ltd, a company registered in England, No. 3831821  
Registered Office and address for all correspondence: The Tower Clinic, 8 Tinshill Lane, Cookridge, Leeds LS16 7AP