

**From:**  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE02110\_LDF/SAP&AVLPD  
**Date:** 11 November 2015 12:08:59

---

4 Blackwood Gardens  
LEEDS  
LS16 7RQ

10<sup>th</sup> November 2015

LDF Publication Draft Consultation,  
Forward Planning & Implementation  
The Leonardo Building,  
2 Rossington Street, Leeds LS2 8HD

Dear Sirs

**Ref: LDF/SAP&AVLPD**

I object very strongly to the proposed developments outlined in the:

1. Leeds Site Allocation Plan and
  2. Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan
- on the following grounds.

Horsforth is an historic village/town which is already a very busy area.

If 1,000 houses are built along the A65 the road will become grid-locked, as is the case each morning and evening during the main commuter periods. It is an exceptionally busy road all day and evening.

House owners often own 2 or more cars - so even if the total proposed dwellings were reduced to 500 – that would still spill out, at least, a 1000+ cars on to the A65.

On traffic and air pollution grounds alone, I object to the following areas listed in the proposal :

- HH2 - 41 – Fields South of the A65, Horsforth Roundabout to the Crematorium.
- HG2 - 42 Fields at Broadway and Calverley Lane.
- HG2 - 43 Fields at Horsforth College Campus
- HG2 - 12 Fields at Woodlands Drive
- HG3 - 2, HG3-3, HG3-4 Fields off A65 at Rawdon

Traffic and Air Quality, is important to me and my family, however, it is not my **main** concern.

Developments of these proportions on the Green Belt Area are not consistent with the NPPF – which is supposed to protect the Green Belt and states that only in exceptional circumstances should these areas be considered for increasing housing stock.

The narrow Green Belt would be destroyed and the surrounding towns of Guiseley, Menston and Rawdon would meld together with Horsforth – resulting in loss of character and history – and become just a long, uninterrupted sprawl.

These green routes are very important to me, my family and grandchildren. We all love and use these green-field sites for leisure and sport on a very regular basis. The loss of trees, flora and wildlife would be a significant loss to all of us and the whole community. Indeed whilst walking down the ancient route of Bar Lane only last week with my grandson we were thrilled to see a deer, with a fawn.

I trust that these strongly held objections will be taken in to consideration when finally deciding on submitting the plans to the Secretary of State.

Yours faithfully,

Mrs. Judith Ward