

From: [Department of Planning](#)
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE02283_FW: Sites: HG2-41 (4240, 4246), HG2-43 (5009 (Fields)), 5145
Date: 17 November 2015 14:51:41

FYI.

Regards,

Stephen Bairstow
Customer Service Officer
Planning Services

www.leeds.gov.uk/planning

Please note that this is an officer opinion only and the advice is given without prejudice to any future formal consideration of the matter by the City Council and without prejudice to the Council's consideration and determination of any application that may be submitted.

Leeds City Council fully supports the submission of applications online through the Planning Portal. Why not submit your next application online?

Your City, Your Plan Working with you to find the best places for new homes, jobs, retail and greenspace. Leeds has prepared its 16 year development plan for the whole of the city, including where you live. Consultation on the plan takes place from 22 September to 16 November. To have your say visit:

[www.leeds.gov.uk/council/Pages/Site-Allocations-Development-Plan-Document-\(LDF\).aspx](http://www.leeds.gov.uk/council/Pages/Site-Allocations-Development-Plan-Document-(LDF).aspx)

From: Amanda Marsey
Sent: 16 November 2015 22:39
To: Department of Planning; Site Allocations Plan
Subject: Sites: HG2-41 (4240, 4246), HG2-43 (5009 (Fields)), 5145

Mrs Amanda Marsey
3 Park Gate Close
Horsforth
Leeds
Ls18 5SS

Commenter Type: Neighbour
Stance: Object

Your Comments:

Reasons for opposing the development of Sites: HG2-41 (4240, 4246), HG2-43 (5009 (Fields)),

5145.

Impact on Sustainability – economic, environmental, socially etc. This land is not environmentally or socially sustainable for a number of reasons which are included below.

Access to medical services, GP etc. – this is part of the infrastructure needs for the area. The NHS have confirmed in writing to me and the Area Committee officers that they have made no plans for any increase in patients arising from potential housing development in any of the areas in the north west of the city.

Impact on access to both primary and secondary school places. With the exception of Horsforth HS looking to expand, Education has made no plans to resolve the lack of school places in the area.

Highways issues – major impact of all the proposed developments on surrounding roads. Highways developments are only just coping with existing usages, this comment includes the recent development of the Esso roundabout in Horsforth A65. Inadequate road infrastructure to cope with the additional housing. As per Highways issues above. Significant peak hour traffic problems on surrounding roads.

The increase in local population will mean that the existing infrastructure will not be sufficient.

Potential public transport issues. A further issues with parking at Horsforth train station.

Loss of agriculture – food sources – self-sufficiency. Food should be grown as close to its point of sale as possible. Using this agricultural land will reduce the ability of this land to be used for agriculture in the future. Impacting on the local environment.

Potential visual impact and severe loss of amenity to local residents.

We want to retain our greenbelt, for summer strawberry picking which to whole family enjoys and weekend walks around our beautiful country side, which is so important within a suburban area. We all enjoy the local Ecology, such as wildlife, flora and fauna both large and small, that needs to be protected, but will be destroyed by such plans.

We should be protecting our Greenbelt, which is in line with recent government policy, for future generations. Proposals to include these greenbelt sites within a local plan is not consistent with our national policy. Instead, we should be ensuring Brownfield's sites, such as the recent successes of the Horsforth Clariant site. The proposal to use Greenbelt before any and all brownfield sites is not justified.

Signed: AMarsey
Amanda Marsey