

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE02294_Public consultation letter of objection
Date: 16 November 2015 23:21:44

To whom it may concern:

I am writing to raise objections to the proposed building of houses and roads on the red hall field site in LS17 as well as the following;

HG2-144 // HG2-63 // HG2-69 // HG2 - 81 // HG2 - 72 // HG2 - 159 // HG2-136 // HG2 -123 // HG2-28 // HG2-38 // HG2-17 // HG2-16 // HG2-119 // HG2-36 // HG2-24 // HG2-42 // HG2-46 // HG2-41 // HG2-43 // HG2-59 // HG2-9 // HG2-10 // HG2-12 // HG2-54 // HG2-56 // HG2-71 // HG2-5 // HG2-3 // HG2-2 // HG2-1 // HG2-4 // HG2-25 // HG2-126 // HG2-124 // HG2-131 // HG2-127 // HG2-174 // HG1-411 // HG2-177 // HG2-173 // HG2-175 // HG2-179 // HG2-185 // HG2-183 // HG2-184 // HG2-181 // HG2-180 // HG5-7 // HG2-147 // HG2-150 // HG2-145 // HG2-148 // HG2-76 // HG2-80 // HG2-68 // HG2-65 // HG2-53 // HG2-55 // HG2-9 // HG2-49 // HG2-179 // HG2-133 // HG2-132 // HG2-128 // HG2-166 // HG2-167 // HG2-171 // HG2-153 // HG2-165 // HG2-186 // HG2-81 // HG2-15

My grounds for opposition include the following considerations from the national planning policy framework:

1. Housing targets are not an exceptional circumstance needed for destruction of green space.
2. The site allocation plan has certainly not been positively prepared or well marketed to allow the communities to see what will be happening in their locality. An updated version of the plan that is to be released after the consultation closes is surely not legal??!!
3. The grounds for selling off such a well used piece of parkland is not justified well enough. The council are not under any obligation to build the amount of houses they have set a target of. The governments suggestion is significantly lower than LCC's target and therefor should be reconsidered to save green spaces.
4. The infrastructure for schools, doctors, dentists etc is not in place and are at the current level already at breaking point.
5. The road plans for the ELOR are very confusing showing old landmarks and different positions on several different mappings I have seen. This is deceiving to the public.
6. Roundhay park is already at capacity in terms of public space and adding more housing to the surrounding area whilst at the same time removing areas such as red hall playing fields makes no sense at all?!

7. The planned housing on red hall playing fields certainly will not offer affordable housing, which is what LCC are suggesting their plans involve.

8. LCC housing targets should be met by brownfield site developments.

9. Communities will no longer be separated by green spaces and will end up being large, non identifiable areas of housing.

10. There has been inadequate co-ordination with local councils to share housing targets.

11. There has been inadequate pressure put on developers to build on existing brownfield sites.

Please keep me informed of the outcome and process for de-selecting the green belt sites, in line with my wishes, shown above.

Yours Faithfully

Mr Callum Asquith

Sent from my iPhone