

From: .
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE02297_FW: Public Consultation Feedback - for Leeds and Airedale Site Allocation Plans
Date: 16 November 2015 23:11:47

From: Ms Erica Restall

6 Silverdale Crescent,
Guiseley,
Leeds,
LS20 8BB

FAO: LDF Publication Draft Consultation,
Forward Planning & Implementation,
Leonardo Building,
2 Rossington Street,
Leeds
LS2 8HD

RE: Public Consultation Feedback - for Leeds and Airedale Site Allocation Plans

To whom it may concern:

I wish to raise objections to the following protected green belt sites, listed within your Leeds and Airedale Site Allocation Plans; and ask that they should be removed from the selection process:

Proposed Green Belt Sites that I wish to protect include:

HG2-144 // HG2-63 // HG2-69 // HG2-81 // HG2-72 // HG2-159// HG2-136 // HG2-123 // HG2-28 // HG2-38 // HG2-17 // HG2-16 // HG2-119 // HG2-36 // HG2-24 // HG2-42 // HG2-46 // HG2-41 // HG2-43 // HG2-59 // HG2-59 // HG2-10 // HG2-12 // HG2-9 // HG2-12 // HG2-54 // HG2-56 // HG2-71 // HG2-5 // HG2-3 // HG2-2 // HG2-1 // HG2-4 // HG2-4 // HG2-25 // HG2-126 // HG2-124 // HG2-131 // HG2-127// G2-174// HG1-411 // HG2-177 // HG2-173 // HG2-175 // HG2-179 // HG2-185 // HG2-174 // HG2-183 // HG2-184 // HG2-181 // HG5-7 // HG2-180 // HG2-147 // HG2-150 // HG2-145 // HG2-148 // HG2-76 // HG2-80 // HG2-68 // HG2-65 // HG2-53 // HG2-55 // HG2-53 // HG2-9 // HG2-49 // HG2-170 // G2-132 // HG2-133 // HG2-128 // HG2-166 // HG2-167 // HG2-171 // HG2-153 // HG2-165 // HG2-186 // HG2-81 // HG2-15

My grounds for opposition include the following considerations from the National Planning Policy Framework:

1. Housing targets are not an exceptional circumstance needed for destruction of green belt
2. There is a major lack of road development and infrastructure in the areas in which they are located. The entire A65 corridor and in particular, the bottle-necks through Guiseley are heavily congested already, without further pressure from new developments.
3. Leeds City Council's housing targets should be met by brownfield site developments
4. The green belt needs to provide habitats for wildlife and protect them from isolation. I regularly come across the likes of Red Kites, Deer, etc on/above/living on these strips of Land along the A65. Destruction of this 'transit corridor' through the Aire valley for these animals will leave them without a natural habitat and at the mercy of the roads, driving them to extinction in our area.
5. The above green belt provides us with a method to access and enjoy the countryside
6. If required, the housing target should be capped in line with the need to protect green belt sites.
7. Large proposed green belt sites do not justify proposed developed, in advance of brownfield
8. The above green belts provide separation between the communities which they divide
9. The above sites provide me with unique landscapes and views which I do not want to lose
10. There has been inadequate co-ordination with local councils to share housing targets
11. There has been inadequate pressure on developers to build on existing brownfield sites

12.The site allocations plan is unsound because the Council has not carried out a genuinely comprehensive Green Belt review."

Please keep me informed of the outcome and process for de-selecting the green belt sites, in line with my wishes, shown above.

Yours faithfully,

Erica Restall



This email has been sent from a virus-free computer protected by Avast.
www.avast.com