

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE02318_Leeds Site Allocation Plans
Date: 16 November 2015 22:00:57

Hello

I am writing as a local resident who will be adversely affected by the site allocation plan.

The following sites are local to me are:

- HG2 - 5 - Coach Road is a green belt site of outstanding landscape value, and part of the site is in a conservation area. This is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework.
- HG2-10 – Gill Lane, Nether Yeadon is the last green space on the A65 between Rawdon and Yeadon. This will also result in a loss of community identity.
- HG2-6 – Silverdale Allotments – There is a shortage of allotments in the area with very long waiting lists on all sites. The change of use of this site to housing will severely restrict the supply of an already precious community resource.

Further comments are:

1)The plan is inconsistent with National Planning Policy Framework:

- a) **INADEQUATE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTSRAINT:** NPPF now states that the target level of housing development within the plans should be capped in line with the capacity of brown field sites to accommodate it, to protect and enhance greenbelt. The current plans significantly exceed this capacity; resulting in the permanent and unnecessary destruction greenbelt, which must be protected.
- b) **NON-EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCE:** NPPF states that greenbelt is to be protected and requires 'exceptional' circumstances to be built on. There is nothing exceptional about the council's plans to build on green belt land designated as special landscape value and a conservation area to meet their current housing target. The council's adoption of the self imposed Core Housing Strategy cannot be considered to be an exceptional set of circumstances, but is merely part of their scheduled housing building policy plan.
- c) **PRIORITISATION OF GREENEBLT OVER BROWN FIELD:** NPPF states that brown field sites are to be prioritised over the development of green field and greenbelt, but these plans offer up greenbelt in advance of many brown field which are allocated for housing. The greenbelt allocated for housing has also been selected in favour of all of the other available brown field land from across the region, which must first be included in the site allocation plan.
- d) **LOSS OF COMMUNITY IDENTITY:** NPPF states that merging of communities is to be prevented, yet development of these greenbelt sites will lose the individual identities currently held between the areas in which they are located. This is particularly acute for Gill Lane in Rawdon.
- e) **LACK OF INFRASTRUCTRE:** NPPF states that infrastructure must come first, yet the outline ideas to provide infrastructure are neither in place or time-lined in advance of the proposed developments. There are currently no plans whatsoever to develop new healthcare with these massive scale extensions of population. At best there is only marginal attempt to set aside land for education, but there is no schedule of timed completion and no consideration for who would build a school on this land.

2) Inconsistent with Leeds Development Plan Policies:

- a) **LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING:** The need for large scale affordable housing and single owner properties will not be fulfilled by developing on sites on greenbelt land in semi-rural areas, but on the renewal of brown field land in areas in need of urban regeneration and investment.
- b) **DENIAL OF HOUSING TARGET RE-ASSEMENT NEED:** The current housing target which drives the need to develop on the greenbelt is flawed and needs to be changed. It is based on outdated, over inflated housing targets which require that over 66,000 new properties are still needed. This urgently needs to be brought in line to the latest 2014 figures (from the Office of National Statistics), which show a need of only 46,000 new homes.
- c) **DELAYING OF HOUSING TARGET RE-ASSEMENT NEED:** In order to prevent the unnecessary permanent destruction of greenbelt, the current plan to re-assess the housing target needs to be put in place before the site allocation plans are progressed, and not after them - as currently planned.
- d) **UNSUITABILITY FOR SINGLE OWNER OCCUPANCY:** Leeds' core strategy identifies a significant need for single owner occupancy housing (upwards of 40%), which will not be met by developing in the above sites. The developers will opt to construct multiple bedroom properties which sell for the highest profits, as evidenced in locally based sites, such as those along Netherfield Road.

3. Negative Impact on Highway Safety and Traffic:

- a) **COMPROMISING ON SAFETY:** The above sites are located on A65 which is one of the most congested and dangerous in Leeds. Adding over a thousand extra houses which all need to utilise this same stretch of road will cause daily gridlock and increase serious accidents within this over burdened area.
- b) **INABILITY TO COPE WITH TRAFFIC:** The exiting road and rail infrastructure is extremely congested. For example it currently takes 25 mins to drive my daughter to school, and there is standing room only on trains from Guiseley. The roads are also congested at weekdays with queuing and stationary traffic along the A65 from Rawdon to Guiseley.

2) Lack of Conservation of the Natural Environment:

- a) **DESTRUCTION OF HABITATS:** The above sites are host to different species of bats, owls, hawks, wild deer, badgers and a huge array of wildlife that will perish as a result of the proposed development. While there may be token provision allocated for selected species, the majority are not even noted or recognised in the inadequate environmental impact assessments which have been conducted to date.
- b) **ISOLATION OF WILDLIFE:** The above sites are part of a continuous chain of greenbelt along the River Aire Valley, which allows the wildlife migratory and residential access across the region. The destruction of these greenbelt sites will lead to their isolation, hemmed in by the proposed housing and Ring Road, leading to their permanent demise from the area.

6. Lack of Co-operation with Neighbouring Councils

- a) **NO COHERENT REGIONAL CO-ORDINATION:** The neighbouring satellite towns that accommodate a large percentage of the working populations of Leeds contain huge swathes of post industrial, derelict brown field sites that lay undeveloped. These ideally suited sites across Bradford, Halifax and Wakefield should first accommodate the excess

housing capacity volumes, before the greenbelt sites are considered.

7. Unsuitability of Consultation Process

- a) **WEB SITE OBSTRUCTIVENESS:** The council's consultation feedback website does not allow for the objections of greenbelt development across Leeds. The method is divisive in that it forces the user to focus only on sites on an individual field by field basis, making the commenting of objections to all proposed sites an impossible task.
- b) **LIMITING ACCESS TO INFORMATION:** There is nowhere in the council's published documentation that provides a clear list of greenbelt sites which are nominated for development. They are hidden in isolation amongst the wide array of other sites, making them inaccessible to the public.
- c) **LACK OF PUBLIC AWARENESS:** Less than 25 members of the public attended the councils' largest scale opening exhibition held in the Grand Hall of the Civic Centre. There have been no visible letters sent to households across Leeds, no TV campaign, no radio campaign, no social media, no newsletters via schools, nothing. As a result, the vast majority of the people of Leeds have not been given the opportunity to comment on issues that affect them.

On these and other grounds, we sincerely hope that you are able to act on our behalf and reject the progression of these greenbelt sites within the development proposals. Please keep me informed of opportunities to present my objections to the planning panel, the public examinations, the submission of the plans and potential outcome of the review.

Yours faithfully,

Richard Hennessy
71 Crofters Lea
Leeds
LS19 7WE