

**From:**  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE02430\_Leeds site allocations - FORMAL OBJECTION  
**Date:** 16 November 2015 17:04:44

---

**Dear Sir/Madam,**

**As part of the Leeds site allocation plan public consultation process, I would like to formally object to the proposed development of the following sites:**

**Hollins Hill 4020 (HG2-4)**

**I believe that the proposed development site is not consistent with the national policy, specifically with regard to Green Belt. We must continue to protect our Green Belt and greenfields. The fields and open green spaces are important to me because Green Belts are a buffer between towns, and town and countryside and form part of a nationwide ecological network, providing breathing places for people and for nature long into the future.**

**The sites should continue to be part of Leeds 'Strategic Green Infrastructure' as identified in the Adopted Core Strategy. I believe that the proposed development site are not consistent with the national policy, specifically with regard to infrastructure.**

**Leeds has insufficient policies in place to address the issues that these developments will generate. Development and infrastructure requirements must be considered jointly.**

**Hollins Hill is a quality Green belt site designated in the 2006 UDP as Special Landscape Area. 80 new houses would completely change this special area landscape and local distinctiveness.**

**There will be urban sprawl, as new housing would join BD17 to LS20. The towns of Guiseley and Baildon will merge and also meet Esholt, therefore Leeds will join Bradford.**

**There is a working farm on the site, the farmhouse is a Grade 2 listed building which has not been included in Leeds City council's assessments.**

**Furthermore, there is no access. There will be serious issues with access/egress and highway issues with A6038 Bradford Road, Hawksworth Lane and Park Road.**

**Residents will lose the beautiful views they currently enjoy, there will be serious visual intrusion from the building of new houses due to the undulating nature of the proposed site.**

**One family produces 6 traffic movements a day - so potentially 480 extra traffic movements a day where commuting is already very high would mean an increase in gridlock and air pollution on the already over capacity A65'(source CPRE).**

**Other major considerations are The significant loss of wildlife that would ensue and a 55kv overhead line runs through the site.**

**Please keep me informed of the public examinations, submission of the plans and potential outcome of the review as I would like to retain my right to attend and speak.**

**Yours faithfully,**

**Alan Bowman**

**10 Station Road**

**Esholt**

**Shipley**

**BD17 7 QR**