

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE02548_Leeds Site Allocation Plan
Date: 16 November 2015 16:42:06

Sally Perry
70 Moseley Wood Gardens
Cookridge
Leeds
LS16 7HU

I would like to make a comment about the Leeds Site Allocations Plan, the first site I wish to comment on is HG2 -29 119b , Moseley Wood Gardens.

I do not agree with the above site being allocated for housing particularly as to build on this site would mean taking land out of green belt for the access route into the site. When this plot and plans originally came before the plans panel this was discussed in great detail, the plans panel categorically said that they would not approve the removal of this land from the green belt to build an access road and that it was only in exceptional/ special circumstances that this could be done. They went on to discuss this further and agreed unanimously that this land and the plans for it did not meet any exceptional/special circumstances and that it was out of the question to go ahead. Please refer to the minutes for this meeting at which I was present. The area of green belt required for the access route would require the removal of mature trees and destruction of a woodland area which is the natural habitat for many species of wildlife. Furthermore we do not have the infrastructure to support further development, the local schools, doctors and dentists are already struggling to cope with demand. More houses would bring more children to the area and we are advised that the needs of all children could not be met in this area and would require some to have to travel to be educated. This would mean even more traffic on the already congested roads and would render any new development not being environmentally friendly, as such the development would be very car dependent. Local amenities in Cookridge are few and far between, our local post office has recently been moved further away from this area in Cookridge, we have very little in the way of amenities and more development would put further strain on our limited resources. I consider all brownfield sites in Leeds need to be exhausted before any consideration is given to greenfield sites and that greenbelt sites should remain just that GREENBELT . Stop manipulating the rules to suit yourselves and the fat cat builders.

With respect to the following sites
HG1-59 3010
HG2-30 421
HG2-31 400
HG2-32 421
HG2-33 3010
HG2-34 423

I agree to the proposals for these sites as they are all brownfield sites and these should be utilised before any greenfield sites .

The other areas not identified for housing - Cookridge are all GREEN BELT sites and should remain so.

With respect to site HG1-58 1191 Moseley Wood Gardens current reserved matters - I wish to comment as follows-

I have made numerous objections to the council regarding this site which is clearly unsuitable due to the waterlogged state of the site, despite our experts opinions the Council seem to want to let Taylor Wimpey go ahead without making the necessary investigations which is at the very least negligent and does not instil me with any confidence in Leeds City Councils Planning department or procedures. I shudder to think why hard our earned taxes are used to pay the salaries of a department that is run in such a manner, I for one think if you have a job you should do it properly, if the source of the water needs investigating it needs investigating, make the builder pay for the investigation and ensure it is done properly. Don't manipulate the rules to make it easier for yourselves, think about the long term consequences, peoples houses could flood, the railway network could flood, you are fully aware of the situation and yet still choose to ignore the

warnings, I could go on further but feel I am just wasting my time as everything seems to fall on deaf ears as far as planning goes.

Please confirm receipt of my comments.

Sally Perry

16.11.15
16.38pm

Sent from my iPad