

From:
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE02595_HG2-168 and HG2-169
Date: 16 November 2015 16:33:21

LDF Publication Draft Consultation,
Forward Planning & Implementation,
Leonardo Building,
2 Rossington Street,
Leeds
LS2 8HD

16/10/15

Angela Phipps
51 Woollin Crescent
Tingley
WF3 1ET

Leeds Site Allocation Plan Publication Draft

Response to consultation 22 September to 16 November 2015.

Dear Sirs, I am writing to object to housing sites **HG2-168** and **HG2-169**.

I consider these plans to be unsound because it fails the tests of being Positively Prepared, Justified, Effective and Legally Compliant in the following ways:

1. Housing targets are not an exceptional circumstance needed for destruction of green belt
2. This Site Allocations Plan is not based on a comprehensive Greenbelt review as required by the previous Inspector when he passed the Core Strategy as sound. The selective, (and FLAWED) greenbelt review undertaken by Leeds City Council means the Core Strategy and Site

Allocations Plan are UNSOUND.

3. Leeds City Council's housing targets should be met by brownfield site development alone.

4. Large proposed green belt sites do not justify proposed development, in advance of brownfield

5. The above green belts provide separation between the communities which they divide. Development on this scale would virtually eliminate the open centre of West Ardsley and consolidate the semi-rural group of settlements into a predominantly urban residential area.

6. The above sites provide me with unique landscapes and views which I do not want to lose. Development would impinge on Haigh Wood, its wildlife and secluded rural valley which it is part of.

7. Local schools will not have the capacity to accommodate the extra children.

8. Local access roads are inadequate and their use would be contrary to the Council's own highway design standards.

9. No assessment has been made as to whether Tingley roundabout and the A653 Dewsbury Road can be improved sufficiently to cope with the extra traffic that would be generated by the development of these sites, and by others in Tingley and Kirklees' proposals at Chidswell.

10. There has been inadequate co-ordination with local councils to share housing targets

11. There has been inadequate pressure on developers to build on existing brownfield sites

12. The plans are not legally compliant in that I was unaware of them and have not received information about the "Consultation", after all, these houses will be my immediate neighbours - surely I should have received some planning consultation.

Please keep me informed of the outcome.

Yours faithfully

Angela Phipps