

**From:** [Chris Jones](#)  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE02660\_Public Consultation Feedback - for Leeds and Airedale Site Allocation Plans  
**Date:** 16 November 2015 16:15:38

---

Mr Chris Jones  
11 Fall Park Court  
Bramley  
Leeds  
LS16 6QY

LDF Publication Draft Consultation,  
Forward Planning & Implementation,  
Leonardo Building,  
2 Rossington Street,  
Leeds  
LS2 8HD

16 November 2015

RE: Public Consultation Feedback - for Leeds and Airedale Site Allocation Plans

To whom it may concern:

I wish to raise objections to the following protected green belt sites, listed within your Leeds and Airedale Site Allocation Plans; and ask that they should be removed from the selection process:

Proposed Green Belt Sites that I wish to protect include:

HG2-144 // HG2-63 // HG2-69 // HG2-81 // HG2-72 // HG2-159// HG2-136 // HG2-123 // HG2-28 // HG2-38 // HG2-17 // HG2-16 // HG2-119 // HG2-36 // HG2-24 // HG2-42 // HG2-46 // HG2-41 // HG2-43 // HG2-59 // HG2-59 // HG2-10 // HG2-12 // HG2-9 // HG2-12 // HG2-54 // HG2-56 // HG2-71 // HG2-5 // HG2-3 // HG2-2 // HG2-1 // HG2-4 // HG2-4 // HG2-25 // HG2-126 // HG2-124 // HG2-131 // HG2-127// G2-174// HG1-411 // HG2-177 // HG2-173 // HG2-175 // HG2-179 // HG2-185 // HG2-174 // HG2-183 // HG2-184 // HG2-181 // HG5-7 // HG2-180 // HG2-147 // HG2-150 // HG2-145 // HG2-148 // HG2-76 // HG2-80 // HG2-68 // HG2-65 // HG2-53 // HG2-55 // HG2-53 // HG2-9 // HG2-49 // HG2-170 // G2-132 // HG2-133 // HG2-128 // HG2-166 // HG2-167 // HG2-171 // HG2-153 // HG2-165 // HG2-186 // HG2-81 // HG2-15

My grounds for opposition include the following considerations from the National Planning Policy Framework:

1. Housing targets are not an exceptional circumstance needed for destruction of green belt
2. There is a major lack of road development and infrastructure in the areas in which they are located
3. Leeds City Council's housing targets should be met by brownfield site development alone
4. The green belt needs to provide habitats for wildlife and protect them from isolation
5. The above green belt provides us with a method to access and enjoy the countryside
6. If required, the housing target should be capped in line with the need to protect green belt sites
7. Large proposed green belt sites do not justify proposed development, in advance of brownfield
8. The above green belts provide separation between the communities which they divide
9. The above sites provide me with unique landscapes and views which I do not want to lose
10. There has been inadequate co-ordination with local councils to share housing targets
11. There has been inadequate pressure on developers to build on existing brownfield sites

Please keep me informed of the outcome and process for de-selecting the green belt sites, in line with my wishes, shown above.

Yours faithfully,

**Chris Jones**

Chris Jones

**First Actuarial LLP**

Mayesbrook House, Lawnswood Business Park, Leeds, LS16 6QY.

Regulated by the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries in respect of a range of investment business activities.  
First Actuarial LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England & Wales. Number OC348086.  
Registered address: First Actuarial LLP, Mayesbrook House, Lawnswood Business Park, Leeds, LS16 6QY.

This message or its attachments may be privileged and confidential, and is intended exclusively for the addressee. The views expressed may not be official First Actuarial LLP policy. No disclosure, reproduction, distribution, dissemination or use of this communication is authorised unless you are the addressee. If you have received this message in error, please reply to the sender only by email. Neither this message nor its attachments will create any new contractual obligations upon First Actuarial LLP unless his intention is expressly stated by the sender.

