

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE02672\_Leeds Site Allocation Plan HG2-15, HG2-16, HG2-17, 1181A, 1181B, 1204, 3434, 3367B  
**Date:** 16 November 2015 16:12:00  
**Attachments:** [Nov 2015\\_Graham.pdf](#)

---

From: Graham Lovis,  
6 The Sycamores, Bramhope, LS16 9JR, [REDACTED] or  
[REDACTED]

I am commenting on the "Leeds Site Allocation Plan"

I am commenting on the following sites: HG2-15, HG2-16, HG2-17, 1181A, 1181B, 1204, 3434, 3367B

Site HG2-15 (3400). I object to this site being allocated for housing. This site is adjacent to the Bramhope Conservation area, and development is therefore inappropriate. There would be habitat loss and reduction in greenspace.

Site HG2-16(1002) I object to this site being allocated for housing. This site is adjacent to the Bramhope Conservation area, and development is therefore inappropriate. There would be habitat loss and reduction in greenspace.

Site HG2-17 (1080 & 3367A) I object to this site being allocated for housing. This site is adjacent to the Bramhope Conservation area, development is therefore inappropriate, there is a listed building, The Old farmhouse. Much of this land is greenbelt, development here would mean habitat loss and environmental damage.

The A660 is already congested, the public transport inadequate, the medical centre overstretched and the primary school oversubscribed. The proposed trolley bus scheme is ill-conceived and will not bring any manful improvements to the traffic situation on the A660. There is no capacity to absorb the increase in population numbers the proposed developments would bring.

For all 3 sites the plan is not sound. The plan has not been **positively prepared** based on the Core Strategy. It does not take into account the inadequacies of the existing infrastructure eg road capacity, public transport, school capacity, health provision. It is not **justified** because all the brownfield sites in Leeds should be used before even considering any greenfield sites. It is not **effective** because of the lack of infrastructure and lack of scope to improve this. It is not **Consistent with national policy**, for example see Paras 158, 159 and 126 of NPPF

The unallocated sites: Site references 1181A, 1181B, 1204, 3434, 3367B. I support these not being allocated.

Graham Lovis

November 15<sup>th</sup> 2015