

**From:** [June Kirk](#)  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE02840\_proposed development on site 3029 Wills Gill Guiseley  
**Date:** 16 November 2015 15:09:17

---

I am writing to object to the proposed development on site 3029  
The Wills Gill site has been given a biodiversity scoring of 0 However, site 1256 on the other side of Wills Gill has been given a biodiversity score of -2 Both sites are the same grassland with becks and same species visiting them. Also the site is adjacent to Guiseley Conservation Area. I also disagree that the site has 2 or more built up boundaries . I don't class 7 houses on Wills Gill as a built up area.

The site also has 70 or more mature trees which surely need protecting.

I understand the site was deemed unsuitable for housing in 2014 - but now deemed suitable. What has changed?

I feel that Guiseley has had more than it's fair share of house building over the recent years and would not be able to cope with the intended number [133] of house proposed. This also applies to the Shaw Lane and Banksfield Site [1255b]

Our local schools have already had to build new class rooms to cope with the growing need for child places , that's before these extra houses 313 in all.

There is also concern re Doctors and Dentist . Hard enough now to get appointments.

There is one main road through to Leeds[A65] which is already not coping with the volume of traffic plus the B road {Queensway} also not coping with the volume of traffic.

Finally, why is Greenbelt land being considered for development before Brownfield.

Regards  
Mrs J Kirk      23 Shaw Lane Gardens Guiseley