

From: [KAREN THORBURN](#)
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE03005_Public Consultation Feedback - for Leeds and Airedale Site Allocation Plans
Date: 16 November 2015 13:46:46

Karen Thorburn,
206a Main Street
Spittal
Berwick upon Tweed
TD15 1RR

EMAIL TO: sap@leeds.gov.uk

LDF Publication Draft Consultation,
Forward Planning & Implementation,
Leonardo Building,
2 Rossington Street,
Leeds
LS2 8HD

16/10/15

RE: Public Consultation Feedback - for Leeds and Airedale Site Allocation Plans

To whom it may concern:

I wish to raise objections to the following protected green belt sites, listed within your Leeds and Airedale Site Allocation Plans; and ask that they should be removed from the selection process:

Proposed Green Belt Sites that I wish to protect include:

HG2-144 // HG2-63 // HG2-69 // HG2-81 // HG2-72 // HG2-159// HG2-136 // HG2-123 // HG2-28 // HG2-38 // HG2-17 // HG2-16 // HG2-119 // HG2-36 // HG2-24 // HG2-42 // HG2-46 // HG2-41 // HG2-43 // HG2-59 // HG2-59 // HG2-10 // HG2-12 // HG2-9 // HG2-12 // HG2-54 // HG2-56 // HG2-71 // HG2-5 // HG2-3 // HG2-2 // HG2-1 // HG2-4 // HG2-4 // HG2-25 // HG2-126 // HG2-124 // HG2-131 // HG2-127// G2-174// HG1-411 // HG2-177 // HG2-173 // HG2-175 // HG2-179 // HG2-185 // HG2-174 // HG2-183 // HG2-184 // HG2-181 // HG5-7 // HG2-180 // HG2-147 // HG2-150 // HG2-145 // HG2-148 // HG2-76 // HG2-80 // HG2-68 // HG2-65 // HG2-53 // HG2-55 // HG2-53 // HG2-9 // HG2-49 // HG2-170 // G2-132 // HG2-133 // HG2-128 // HG2-166 // HG2-167 // HG2-171 // HG2-153 // HG2-165 // HG2-186 // HG2-81 // HG2-15

My grounds for opposition include the following considerations from the National Planning Policy Framework:

1. Housing targets are not an exceptional circumstance needed for destruction of green belt
2. There is a major lack of road development and infrastructure in the areas in which they are located
3. Leeds City Council's housing targets should be met by brownfield site development alone
4. The green belt needs to provide habitats for wildlife and protect them from isolation
5. The above green belt provides us with a method to access and enjoy the countryside
6. If required, the housing target should be capped in line with the need to protect green belt sites
7. Large proposed green belt sites do not justify proposed development, in advance of brownfield
8. The above green belts provide separation between the communities which they divide
9. The above sites provide me with unique landscapes and views which I do not want to lose
10. There has been inadequate co-ordination with local councils to share housing targets
11. There has been inadequate pressure on developers to build on existing brownfield sites

Please keep me informed of the outcome and process for de-selecting the green belt sites, in line with my wishes, shown above.

Yours faithfully,

Karen Thorburn
Address above