

From: [Harvatt, Adam](#)
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE03090_FW: Building Plan
Date: 16 November 2015 12:35:31

Adam Harvatt
Team Leader
Local Plans East
Forward Planning & Implementation
City Development

From: Speak, Stephen
Sent: 16 November 2015 09:09
To: Harvatt, Adam
Subject: FW: Building Plan

From: Dobson, Cllr Mark
Sent: 15 November 2015 13:39
peak, Stephen
Subject: Re: Building Plan

Steve

Please ensure added before Mon deadline

Thanks

M

Sent from my iPhone

On 15 Nov 2015, at 13:20,

wrote:

Yes please Mark
Andrew

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail

-----Original Mes
From: Dobson, Cl
To: andrewlefkas
Sent: Sun, Nov 15
Subject: Re: Building Plan

Hi

May I submit this?

M

Sent from my iPhone

On 15 Nov 2015, at 12:14,

wrote:

Mike

Iv read or at least tried to make sense of the council building proposals for Garforth although the sheer scale alongside lack of detail makes anything other than general comment difficult .

My comments are below -:)

Traffic:

The sheers numbers of extra cars circulating around the already very busy local area cannot improve the environment for any residents , as you will be well aware the slightest incident or flooding occurring anywhere in Garforth or surrounds results in total gridlock for hours , introducing a few thousand more cars into the already overloaded roads would be foolish and very shortsighted.The proposals mention each house generating six vehicle movements per day ,where does that figure come from I ask because even on our small estate it's plainly obvious that figure is far higher . I think most households now have at least two cars on the drive and certainly around us four cars on one drive is not uncommon , taking around 2,000 extra houses in Garforth and assuming only two cars per house another 4,000 cars locally is going to cause lots of local congestion .

Flooding:

As we know certain areas of Garforth are prone to flooding , building more houses especially on this scale can only make matters worse for obvious reasons . Coupled with the large increase in vehicles congestion ,especially in periods of heavy rain is going to get considerably worse .The larger development if ever built at Peckfield is an elevated site above Garforth so it doesn't take much to see where the water that cannot drain because of new housing is going to end up .

Local infrastructure :

Doctors = already difficult to obtain appointments

Dentists: already overloaded and poor service

Schools : already oversubscribed apart from East Garforth and we know why that is .

Main Street shops :) already avoid going there as parking very difficult , introducing thousands more Doyle who might want to visit shops on Main Street is going to choke up Main Street along with many side streets already affected prior to new building.

In fact Mike. I think I need to move away if these proposals go ahead especially when I'm told its going to improve my life with more shops .Im not against new development especially as I live in one but the sheer scale of what's being talked about is absolutely not going to make Garforth a better place . Iv many friends and acquaintances here and so far Iv not heard one person who thinks these proposals will benefit Garforth , well

okay there is one person but he has rather a large farm nearby !
Kind regards
Andrew ward
Sent from AOL Mobile Mail

-----Original Mess
From: Dobson, Cll
To: andrewlefkas
Sent: Thu, Nov 5, 2015 04:23 PM
Subject: Re: Building Plan

No comment!

M

Sent from my iPhone

Well the questions are so loaded to steer potential
survey respondents towards Mr Makins land they
border on bribery !

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail

-----Original Message-----
From: Dobson, Cllr Mark

To: andrewlefkas
Sent: Thu, Nov 5, 2015 04:09 PM
Subject: Re: Building Plan

Please do and submit anything back via me, council
site hard to navigate.

Must admit, this is worrying!

M

Sent from my iPhone

Thank you for your swift response Mark
, I suspected the letter from Mr Makin
wasI ll be kind ... Slightly biased .
I will read your submission with interest

.
Cheers
Andrew

Sent from AOL Mobile Mail

-----Original Message-----

From: Dobson, Cllr Mark

Subject: Re: Building Plan

Hi

I've seen it.

There's an issue over mineral rights at Peckfield but 'undeliverable' is, I'll be kind, a stretch.

I've concerns about all the land put forward frankly until all the many outstanding issues I've raised in my submission are all fully sorted out but I'm very reluctant to put more land back in (Mr Makin's land is currently not under consideration).

Here's my submission, it's lengthy as you'd expect but please direct any concerns re this latest twist back via me ASAP & I'll get into the right hands.

Cheers

Mark

Sent from my iPhone

53,

wrote:

> Hi Mark
> Just received a letter from Mr Chris Makin regarding planning building houses on the site currently housing poly tunnels for strawberry production .The letter tells me the original plans for building at Peckfield farm are now undeliverable and the best option would be the land used for the poly tunnels .Im not against anywhere in particular but considering Mr Makin would be an obvious beneficiar,y of such plans Id welcom your comments on this letter which maybe you have seen or maybe not ? It comes from Mr Makin but has the

umbrella title of. Great for
Garforth At the top of
the page . If you haven't seen
this letter I will take a pic and
send it to you

.
> Kind regards
> Andrew ward
> 8 Cedar Ridge
> Garforth
>
> Sent
from AOL Mobile
Mail

The
information in this email (and
any attachment) may be for the
intended
recipient only. If you know you
are not the intended recipient,
please do not
use or disclose the information
in any way and please
delete this email (and
any attachment) from your system.

The Council does not accept
service of
legal documents by e-mail.
