

From: [a webster](#)
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Subject: PDE03135_HG2-1 (3026).
Date: 16 November 2015 11:10:19

Dear Leeds city council,

I do not consider the proposed development on Ings lane, Guiseley Leeds to which relates to the Leeds site allocation plan and particular the section HG2-1 (3026) a site as suitable for housing and completely reject this for a number of reasons and this should be rejected.

The infrastructure isn't sufficient now never mind building more houses and this will mean two or three cars per household which would result in grid lock and even more hassle, traffic and with the winding roads with cars parked outside this would mean GRIDLOCK.

Facilities can't cope such as roads, doctors, schools, buses and even the shops as car parks are overflowing with the volume of traffic.

Doctors and schools have no places and extra buses would be needed and this would create more traffic and restrictions.

The site would bring two neighbouring settlements together Menston and Guiseley merging them within a green belt area. These fields form a defining boundary and separates the two definitively.

Mire beck is liable from flooding and the site / fields add to the every decreasing green landscape and building on this would result in the area having tree's being cut down which have preservation orders on!!

I completely reject this site being done and DISAGREE with HG2-1 (3026), the plan is flawed (not even researched properly), its not beneficial, not compliant and unjust in regards to the Council's duty of care for traffic, congestion and public views!

Yours Disappointingly Mrs Webster

8 Edison way

Guiseley

leeds

ls209px