

**From:** [aaron.webster](#)  
**To:** [Site Allocations Plan](#)  
**Subject:** PDE03138\_Fw: Section HG2-1 (3026) of the Leeds site allocation plan  
**Date:** 16 November 2015 11:05:20

---

Sorry missed - Mr Aaron Webster, 8 Edison way, Guiseley, LS209PX

---

**From:** aaron.webster <  
**Sent:** 16 November 2015 11:01

**Subject:** Section HG2-1 (3026) of the Leeds site allocation plan

Dear Leeds city council,  
i am writing this regarding the proposed development on Ings lane, Guiseley Leeds to which relates to the Leeds site allocation plan and particular the section HG2-1 (3026).  
I do not consider this site as suitable for housing and completely DISAGREE for a number of reasons and this should be rejected.  
The site would bring two neighbouring settlements together Menston and Guiseley merging them within a green belt area. These fields form a defining boundary and separates the two definitively.  
The infrastructure isn't sufficient now never mind building more houses and this will mean two or three cars per household which would result in grid lock and even more hassle, traffic and with the winding roads with cars parked outside this would mean GRIDLOCK.  
Facilities can't cope such as roads, doctors, schools, buses and even the shops as car parks are overflowing with the volume of traffic.  
Doctors and schools have no places and extra buses would be needed and this would create more traffic and restrictions.  
Mire beck is liable from flooding and the site / fields add to the every decreasing green landscape and building on this would result in the area having tree's being cut down which have preservation orders on!!  
I completely reject this site being done and DISAGREE with HG2-1 (3026), the plan is flawed (not even researched properly), its not beneficial, not compliant and unjust in regards to the Council's duty of care for traffic, congestion and public views!  
Yours Disappointingly

Aaron Webster

07790 615626