

From: [Chris Coyle](#)
To: [Site Allocations Plan](#)
Cc:
Subject: PDE03215_Leeds Site Allocation Plan - HG2-124 Loss of Greenbelt
Date: 15 November 2015 23:14:56

From
Christopher Coyle
21 Gilling Avenue
Garforth
Leeds
LS25 2NE

Regarding site **HG2-124 Grange Farm South, Ridge Road, Garforth (1232b)**

I do not agree with this site

Theme of comments: Loss of Greenbelt

Objecting to the allocation of this site for housing

Why this site is unsound

1. I object to this site being used for housing because it is greenbelt land and there are many brownfield sites around Leeds including the Outer South East HMCA that should be used before greenbelt sites are used.
2. In particular there are local brownfield sites currently designated for industry that have not been taken up for 20 years and in particular those sites near the HS2 route are unlikely to be considered until the track is built in another 15 years time. It's time to cut their losses and allow them to be considered for housing.
3. Building houses on this site will result in Garforth merging with Micklefield at Peckfield Business Park. The purpose of the greenbelt is specifically to stop this situation happening.
4. Breaching the greenbelt at Peckfield Business Park will mean that the greenbelt protection has been neutralised and open up site 1232a for development (1232a also currently greenbelt land between Garforth and Micklefield). Development of both 1232 sites would double the size of Garforth

If greenbelt land has to be sacrificed, a more sensible option would be to look at the land around Micklefield which has the same transport advantages that Garforth has, namely a railway station and proximity to the motorway but also has a number of additional advantages - 1) there will be a very large car park at Micklefield station when it becomes operational as the East Leeds Parkway 2) Micklefield is already built upto the Ridge Road at Peckfield business park 3) local drainage does not flow into the Garforth culvert. Neither community has infrastructure capacity to suport a large development. 4) The existing Micklefield residents would benefit from the retail, educational and medical facilities that a large new development would have to bring to the village whereas a similar development in Garforth would only be detrimental to existing residents

I would like to be notified of the adoption of this plan
I would like to be notified of the submission of plans for public examination

Signed C Coyle
Date 15/11/2015