DH00241 LDF PUBLICATION DRAFT NAME CAROL OCIVER CONSULTATION FORWARD PLANNING & -10<sub>1</sub> ADDRESS & KENILWOLIN GARDONY **IMPLEMENTATION** LEONARDO BUILDING 2, ROSSINGTON STREET LEEDS LS2 8HD LEGOS LS 27 760 ĎATE. Dear Sirs. LEEDS DRAFT SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN CONSULTATION 2015.

After considering information provided about the above consultation, I wish to object to the loss of

Green Belt at this stage of the Local Plan; taking land out of Green Belt should not be done before the Leeds Local Plan has reached its halfway point on 1st April 2020, after a formal review of its progress. This is to avoid unnecessary loss of Green Belt if the rate of new development, especially

the building of dwellings, falls well short of LDF Core Strategy target numbers.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) paragraph 79 says "the essential characteristics of Green Belts are their openness and their permanence"; para 83 says "once established, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances, through the preparation or review of the Local Plan. At that time, authorities should consider the Green Belt boundaries having regard to their intended permanence in the long term, so that they should be capable of enduring beyond the plan period". Leeds City Council must take this into account.

(Signed)....

Also, I wish to make comments on the following individual sites which are identified by name and by Site Allocations Plan reference number if they have one.

ON THIS SITE WILL GREATLY INCREASE BULLDING TRAFFIC AT BRANCH END CROSSROWS, GILDERSOMS WHICH ALLENDY COLGESTED.

THIS BEING GREW BELT LAND, WE WOULD BECOME JOINGS ONTO MORLEY LOSING OUR IDENTITY AS A VILLAGE.

Note: comments can be sent on-line to www.leeds.co.uk/yourcity , or by e-mail to sap@leeds.gov.uk . Comments, whether electronic or on paper, must be received by Leeds not later than 5pm on Monday 16th November 2015. Preferably, comments should quote the reference number and name of the allocation site to which they refer, if it has any, such as HG2-149, Laneside Farm. There is no limit to the number of sites which can be commented on. Please continue overleaf and add more sheets of paper if needed. Anonymous comments cannot be accepted by Leeds, so always give clearly your name and postal or e-mail address.

B400242.

Carol Oliver 8 Kenilworth Gardens Gildersome Leeds LS27 7EW

30th November 2015

Dear Sirs

With regard to HG2-148, by allowing building to take place on the above site this will increase traffic greatly at the Branch End crossroads. If this goes head along with the proposed industrial development on Gelderd Road, which will pour lorries onto the already congested roads, we will have to endure extra pollution, probably double/treble? the length of journey times within the area, which are becoming intiolerable.

When "Green Belt" was first introduced we all thought this a wonderful idea, never believing that it would be disregarded, causing trust to be lost, so now when we hear that this type of land is only going to be used in Phase 3 scheduled for 2028, we worry that before too long some reason will be found to forget these promises.

Gildersome is a nice village. The word village to me has always been defined as a small settlement surrounded by green spaces; these are being gradually eroded and if the above proposals take place Gildersome will be joined onto Morley except for the small stripof land which is Dean Wood ( see below).

Dean Wood should and could be a delightful area for recreation, if only this could be made so. We have so few green spaces, we travel more than once a week to Oakwell Hall, Birstall to get our exercise, how lovely to have something like that on our doorstep and how we envy people in North Leeds with all their huge parks (ours are so tiny).

Yours faithfully

C A Oliver (Mrs)