

Part 1 - Your details

*This information must be completed

	Personal details / Client details	Agent details <i>Only complete if you are an agent</i>
Title		
First name*	DEBORAH	
Last name*	LEE	
Job title <i>(where relevant)</i>		
Organisation <i>(where relevant)</i>		
Address*	54 TOWN STREET GUISELEY LEEDS	
Post code*	LS20 90T	
Phone/Mobile		
Email <i>(We'd prefer to contact you by e-mail)</i>		

Part 2 - What comment do you wish to make?

Please use a separate response form for each site/ part of the Plan(s) you wish to comment on.

- 2.1. Which Plan do your comments relate to?
 Leeds Site Allocations Plan Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan
- 2.2. Which section of the Plan do your comments relate to?
 a. A specific site/designation in the Plan
 Site reference from the document or Map (e.g. HG2-1 (3026)) HG2 - 2,3029 WILLS GILL
- Do you agree with the proposed use of this site? Yes No
- Please tick all the themes you wish to comment on;
- | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ecology/Landscape/Tree(s) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local services/facilities | <input type="checkbox"/> Schools |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conservation/Heritage | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Loss of Greenbelt | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Highways/transport | <input type="checkbox"/> Site Boundary (please submit a revised boundary) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) | | |

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 a - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 2

b. Another part of the Plan

Title of document (e.g. Publication Plan, background paper, sustainability appraisal)

Policy Ref. (e.g. - RTC1)

Paragraph Number

Diagram / Inset Map

Other

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 b - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

c. A site previously considered and not allocated in the plan

(See Housing & Employment Background Paper)

Reference No (e.g. SHLAA ref)

Address

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 c - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

d. A new site which has not been considered. Please attach a site plan.

Address

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 d - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

Part 3 - Is the Plan sound?

At this stage, before the Plan is sent to the Secretary of State for Public Examination, we are asking for your views about the 'soundness' of the plan. An independent Inspector will examine the plan against the 'tests of soundness' (Please read the guidance notes on how to complete this section)

3.1. Do you consider the plan to be sound?

Yes (go to Q3.3)

No (go to Q3.2)

3.2. Which test of soundness are your comments about? (You must select at least one option)

Positively Prepared

Effective

Justified

Consistency with National Policy

3.3. Please set out why you think the Plan is sound / unsound? Your comments should briefly cover all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support or justify your view. It helps us if you can use subheadings to deal with specific issues. Please continue on a separate sheet if you need to. There may not be another opportunity to make further comments before the plan is sent to examination.

Site is on green belt land, bordering a conservation area, area is liable to flooding and has high biodiversity (bats, owls, mature trees). There is already insufficient capacity on local roads, with major congestion problems on Queensway and the ABS, with overspill to unsuitable local roads such as Carlton Lane (which is frequently used by horses and cyclists)

3.4. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan sound.

You will need to say why this change will make the Plan. It helps us if you can be precise as possible and providing any suggested revised wording. Please continue on a separate sheet if you need to.

- revise down population forecast in line with government figures
 - improve infrastructure, in particular roads capacity, cycleways, schools, doctors, entertainment/facilities.
 - Don't build on green belt land/flood prone land.

Q 3.3 continued

We have already over 3000 new homes built in Aireborough with minimal improvements to infrastructure + ~~facilities~~

There are ~~already~~ very limited facilities near the planned site, and the bus route (33A) along Queensway is unreliable ~~to~~ due to traffic congestion.

I understand the Leeds plan is based on 70,000 new homes required, whereas the official government forecast is closer to 44,500.