

PDH00399

1

SUBMISSIONS ON LEEDS SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN  
PUBLICATION DRAFT FROM

ADRIAN C ROBINSON

19 MANOR CRESCENT  
POOL IN WHARFEDALE  
OTLEY

W. YORKS  
LS 21 1ND

REPRESENTOR NO PRS 00027

SUBMISSIONS REGARDING THE OVERALL FRAMEWORK  
AND SITES 1365 AND 1095B.

OBJECTIONS TO THE OVERALL FRAMEWORK.

1 LEEDS HAS DECIDED IT NEEDS  
70,000 HOUSES. IT HAS DIVIDED ITSELF INTO  
AREAS AND ALLOCATED THE 70,000 BETWEEN  
EACH AREA. THIS INFLEXIBLE POLICY  
MEANS THAT MORE SUITABLE LAND IN ONE  
AREA IS NOT DEVELOPED AND LESS SUITABLE  
LAND IN ANOTHER IS.

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT			
To	ACTION	Info	File
- 3 NOV 2015			
File Number			

- 2 ONLY LAND OFFERED BY THE OWNER IS CONSIDERED FOR DEVELOPMENT. THIS MEANS THAT UNSUITABLE SITES ARE CONSIDERED AND SUITABLE ONES IGNORED.
- 3 IT IS ABSURD TO DECIDE HOW MANY HOUSES ARE NEEDED ~~UNLESS~~ <sup>BEFORE</sup> THE EUROPEAN REFERENDUM WHEN THE COUNTRY MIGHT REGAIN CONTROL OF ITS BORDERS.
4. THE LEGAL CHALLENGES TO THE COUNCIL'S PLAN SHOULD BE HEARD AND THE COUNCIL'S RESPONSE TO ANY SUCCESSFUL CHALLENGES SHOULD BE SET OUT BEFORE THIS CONSULTATION PROCESS CAN BE PROPERLY CONDUCTED.
- 5 I UNDERSTAND LEEDS ALREADY HAS SUFFICIENT SITES FOR THE NEXT FEW YEARS, AN ALLOCATION PLAN BEYOND THAT PERIOD IS PREMATURE.
- 6 I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A PRESUMPTION TO DEVELOP BUT GREEN BELT LAND IS ONLY TO BE DEVELOPED IN EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES! THE TWO POLICIES ARE IN UNWORKABLE IRRATIONAL CONTRADICTION.

7. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THE LEEDS CITY COUNCIL WEBSITE FOR REGISTERING OBJECTIONS IS UTTERLY UNFIT FOR PURPOSE. THIS REPRESENTS A FUNDAMENTAL FLAW IN THE CONSULTATION PROCESS

8. THE COUNCIL'S "LEEDS SITE ALLOCATION PLAN ... PUBLICATION DRAFT RESPONSE FORM" IS NOT USER FRIENDLY AND IS NOT DESIGNED TO ENCOURAGE EXPRESSION OF THE PUBLIC'S VIEWS.

9. ANY PROPOSAL TO DEVELOP THE ABOVE SITES CONTRAVENES THE LOCAL INHABITANTS' RIGHT TO PROPERTY UNDER EUROPEAN LAW:

a. THE DEVELOPMENT CREATES A SIGNIFICANT RISK OF FLOODS. LEEDS COUNCIL SUBCONTRACTS CONSIDERATION OF MEASURES TO ALLEVIATE FLOOD RISK TO THE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY. FROM REPORTS OF THE SOMEWHAT FLOODING I UNDERSTAND THAT THE ENVIRONMENT AGENCY IS IMMUNE FROM LEGAL ACTION IN RESPECT OF ANY DAMAGE ATTRIBUTABLE TO ITS NEGLIGENCE.

b. THE DEVELOPER WILL SET UP A COMPANY TO DEVELOP THE LAND. ONCE THE HOUSES HAVE BEEN SOLD

THAT COMPANY WILL DIVEST ITSELF  
OF ASSETS AND FLOODED INHABITANTS  
WILL HAVE NOBODY TO SUE.

17.

REASONS WHY SITE 1365 SHOULD  
BE REINSTATED IN GREEN BELT AND  
SITE 1095 B SHOULD NOT BECOME PAS  
LAND

1 SITE 1095 B SHOULD NOT BE  
TAKEN OUT OF GREENBELT UNTIL IT IS  
DECIDED TO DEVELOP IT. THE DECISION TO  
CLASSIFY IT AS PAS LAND IS A RUSE  
TO TAKE AWAY IT GREEN BELT STATUS  
WITHOUT PROPER CONSIDERATION OF WHAT  
ARE THE EXCEPTIONAL REASONS FOR THIS.

2 SITE 1365 SHOULD HAVE THE  
SAME DESIGNATION AS 1095 B. THE  
SAME PRINCIPLES APPLY TO BOTH SITES.

3 BOTH SITES SHOULD BE GREENBELT  
TO PREVENT URBAN ENCROACHMENT

4 WHEN THE PARISH COUNCIL  
RECENTLY CONSULTED PEOPLE ON WHETHER  
THEY WANTED A CYCLE PATHWAY ON  
THE LAND THEY FAILED TO MENTION  
THAT ANY SUCH PATHWAY COULD BE  
SEEN AS ENCROACHMENT AND THE CONSEQUENCES  
FOR THE GREEN BELT THAT FOLLOWED.  
IT WAS A DEEPLY FLAWED CONSULTATION  
THE POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCES OF A CYCLE  
WERE NOT EXPLAINED. THE CYCLE  
PATHWAY IS A PIE IN THE SKY  
PROJECT WITHOUT FUNDING

THERE ARE NO EXCEPTIONAL REASONS WHY SITE LOSS SHOULD BE TAKEN OUT OF GREEN BELT.

a) DEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA POSES AN ENORMOUS RISK OF FLOODING TO A LARGE PART OF POOL VILLAGE. EVEN WITH THE LAND IN ITS PRESENT GREEN FIELD STATE, AFTER HEAVY RAIN WATER POURS OFF THE CHEVIN AND FLOODS THE POOL TO O'LEY ROAD. THE MINS BOSSLET AS WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF THE 100 ACRES WAS CONCRETED OVER. A SPRING ON THE LAND WAS SUFFICIENTLY POWERFUL TO RUN A MILL ON THE WHARFE. DEVELOPMENT WILL INEVITABLY BRING FLOODS TO PEOPLE'S HOUSES IN A LARGE AREA OF POOL. HOUSES WILL BECOME UNMORTGAGEABLE AND UNSALEABLE. RECENTLY AFTER HEAVY RAIN THE WHITE HALL WAS FLOODED BY RAIN WATER RUNNING DOWN POOL BANK ROAD.

b) THERE ARE NOT THE ROAD, SCHOOL OR MEDICAL FACILITIES TO SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT.

c) THE DEVELOPMENT REPRESENTS A HUGE INCREASE IN THE SIZE OF POOL AND VASTLY EXCEEDS GUIDELINES FOR INCREASING VILLAGE SIZES.

>

d) THE DEVELOPMENT WOULD  
DESACRATE THE ICONIC AND UNSURPASSED  
VIEW FROM THE CHEVIN NORTHWARDS

IF ENGLAND CANNOT FIND MORE SUITABLE  
PLACES THAN THIS TO BUILD HOUSES, WE  
HAVE REACHED A VERY DORRY STATE