

Part 1 - Your details

*This information must be completed

	Personal details / Client details	Agent details Only complete if you are an agent								
Title	Ms									
First name*	Joanne									
Last name*	Hebden									
Job title (where relevant)	Clerk & RFO to the Council									
Organisation (where relevant)	Micklefield Parish Council									
Address*	6 Churchville Avenue									
	Micklefield	RECEIVED								
	Leeds	- 6 NOV 2015								
Doot ondo*		OVER COUNT,								
Post code*	LS25 4AS									
Phone/Mobile										
Email	clerk@micklefield-pc.gov.uk									
(We'd prefer to conta	ct you by e-mail)									
	nat comment do you wish to									
,	arate response form for each site/ part of the Pl	lan(s) you wish to comment on.								
2.1. Which Plan	do your comments relate to?	ira Vallay Laada Araa Astian Dlan								
00 14/11		ire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan								
	ion of the Plan do your comments relatection in the Plan									
Site	reference from the document or Map HG2-1 (3026))	HG2-125								
Do ye	ou agree with the proposed use of this	site? • Yes • No								
Please tick all the themes you wish to comment on;										
	Ecology/Landscape/Tree(s) Local services/facilities Schools									
[☐ Conservation/Heritage ☐ Loss of Greenbelt ☐ Highways/transport ☐ Site Boundary (please submit a revised boundary									
<u> </u>	Teamsonal Institute of the Control o									
Į	Other (please specify) Surface Water Flood Risk									

			cument (e.g. paper, sustain		•					
		Policy Ref.	(e.g. – RTC1)			Paragraph Number				
		Diagram /	Inset Map			Other		in and the second second		
	IFYOU		PLETED 2.2 b -	PLEASE	GO STRAIGI		RT 3	***************************************	entidation-tunintalide-in-in-in-tulia titi validas lamana antanida alemana del la la mandel la mandel la la mandel la mandel la la mandel la mande	
	c.	-	viously cons				in the plan			
		Reference	No (e.g. SHL	AA ref)						
	IFYOU	Address HAVE COME	PLETED 2.2 c -	PLEASE	GO STRAIGH	ITTO PA	RT 3			
	d.	A new site	which has	not bee	n consider	ed. Plea	ise attach a si	ite nla	an.	
		Address			marana aktrisistää äretäi etteniän kanadannia alaksiisia kiinud maateessa ja					
	IFYOU	HAVE COMP	PLETED 2.2 d -	PLEASE	GO STRAIGI	ITTO PA	RT 3	Marine Na Callegia (especial especial especial de la callegia (especial especial especial especial especial es		
At	this stag	e, before the	Plan soul	the Secr	etary of State	e for Pub	lic Examinati	on, w	ve are asking for your views	
			f the plan. An ce notes on ho				mine the plai	n aga	ninst the 'tests of soundness'	
3.1.		_	the plan to I			,				
	•		es (<i>go to Q3</i>) No <i>(g</i>	o to Q3.2)			
3.2.	Which	test of sou	ındness are	vour coi				et at i	least one option)	
O.L.	benevered	Positively P		your con	Effective	out: /	ou <u>musi</u> sere	ccaci	reast one option,	
	promonen	lustified	, oparoa	***************************************	l	ncv wit	h National	Poli	cv	
3.3.	Please set out why you think the Plan is sound / unsound? Your comments should briefly cover all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support or justify your view. It helps us if you can use subheadings to deal with specific issues. Please continue on a separate sheet if you need to. There may not be another opportunity to make further comments before the plan is sent to examination.									
forms Envel	land co	urrently des ound Mickle	signated as a field. Please	a Protect e see se	ted Area of parate shee	Search et for a f	(PAS) with fuller explar	in th	pted Green Belt and e existing Settlement n as to why Micklefield ng, but why the	
E .		-	sfied and wh						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
3.4.	You wil.	l need to say		ge will m	ake the Plan.	It helps	us if you can	be p	in sound. recise as possible t if you need to.	
includ housi of the	e a nur ng deve 'effecti	nber of pos elopment to ve' test. Ple	itive measur be a credibl	res, in ac le propos parate sh	ddition to th sition and v neet for a fu	ose alre vhich we Ill descr	eady stated ould thus m	, tha iake	ents should expressly t would enable a the Plan sound in terms sitive measures that	

b.

Another part of the Plan

Part 4 - Is the Plan legally compliant?

Please give evidence in support of the comment you gave in Part 2. Do you consider the Plan to be legally compliant? 4.1. (•) Yes Don't Know (Please read the guidance notes on how to complete this section) 4.2. Which part of legal compliance is your comment about? Local Development Scheme Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 Statement of Community Involvement Sustainability Appraisal Report Consultation of appropriate Statutory Bodies **Town & Country Planning** (Local Planning) Regulations **Duty to Cooperate** 4.3. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is/or is not legally compliant. Please try to be as precise as possible, using headings to break up your comments and continue on a separate sheet if you need to. In terms of this specific proposed housing site, HG2-125, the Plan is legally compliant. Part 5 - Take part in the public examination 5.1. Your comments will be taken into account by the Planning Inspector. Would you like to take part in the forthcoming Public Examination? N.B. The Planning Inspector will decide the best way to hear from those who wish to take part in the examination Part 6 - Future updates 6.1. Would you like to be notified of any of the following? (Please tick as appropriate) The Submission of the Plan(s) for Public Examination The Adoption of the Plan(s) Please sign and date this form Date: 05/11/15 Signature: dd/mm/yy Thank you for taking the time to give your comments on the Leeds Site Allocations Plan and/or Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan.

If you would like to make another comment on another site or different part of the

Plan (s), please use a separate response form.

Submit your form

MICKLEFIELD PARISH COUNCIL

Sole Trustee of Micklefield Recreation Ground Charity



APPENDIX TO CONSULTATION RESPONSE

Leeds Local Development Framework (LDF)
Leeds Site Allocations Plan – Publication Draft
(Public Consultation:- September - November 2015)

Site HG2-125

3.3 Please set out why you think the Plan is sound / unsound?

Micklefield Parish Council believes that it is almost impossible to justify any existing PAS allocations remaining as such, if this means that further deletions from the Green Belt and allocation of land for housing would be necessary in order to provide the required number of residential units for Outer South East Leeds. This is why Micklefield Parish Council agrees in principle with the allocation of site HG2-125 for housing.

However, the 'effective' test is not satisfied as there are particular problems with this site which if left unresolved will render the Plan 'unsound'.

- (i) There are significant issues in Micklefield regarding the foul sewer and surface water drains and the provision of an adequate and effective drainage system for any new dwellings.
- (ii) According to the Environment Agency's online mapping system, part of this site (in its existing form as an agricultural field) already has a quantifiable risk of flooding from surface water. This is where, during sustained heavy or very heavy rainfall, rainwater does not drain away through the normal drainage systems or soak into the ground, but lies on or flows over the ground instead. The surface water flows can be either wholly within the site and/or outflow from adjacent land. The area in the north east corner of the site is defined as 'Low Risk' and an area near the centre of the site is defined as 'High Risk'. This matches the Parish Council's own understanding of what happens in site HG2-125 during sustained heavy or very heavy rainfall.
- (iii) The junction of Pit Lane with Great North Road has restricted visibility, especially so for vehicles turning left out of Pit Lane. If the development of HG2-125 for housing results in the 79 dwellings suggested in the Plan, the number of vehicular movements exiting Pit Lane will be greatly increased, at what is an extremely sub-standard junction.

3.4 Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan sound.

The following positive measures should be in the list of Site Requirements for HG2-125, for the allocation to be a credible proposition, satisfy the 'effective' test and for the Plan to be sound:

(i) Development of HG2-125 for housing will require a flood risk assessment of the site itself and an assessment of the development's cumulative impact on the existing foul sewer and surface water drains in Micklefield. Where necessary, mitigation measures will need to be provided so that there will be no negative impact on the occupants of the new houses and no negative cumulative impact on the wider drainage system or the surface water flood risk elsewhere in Micklefield. (ii) Development of HG2-125 for housing will require off-site highway improvements to Pit Lane. These will need to include improvements to the junction of Pit Lane with Great North Road, but might also need to include opening up the roundabout on Pit Lane to the west, to enable vehicles to directly access the A656 Ridge Road.
Joanne Hebden Clerk & RFO to Micklefield Parish Council 5^{III} November 2015