

## Part 4 - Is the Plan legally compliant?

Please give evidence in support of the comment you gave in Part 2.

### 4.1. Do you consider the Plan to be legally compliant?

- Yes  No  Don't Know  
(Please read the guidance notes on how to complete this section)

### 4.2. Which part of legal compliance is your comment about?

- Local Development Scheme  Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004  
 Statement of Community Involvement  Sustainability Appraisal Report  
 Consultation of appropriate Statutory Bodies  Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) Regulations  
 Duty to Cooperate

### 4.3. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is/or is not legally compliant.

Please try to be as precise as possible, using headings to break up your comments and continue on a separate sheet if you need to.

## Part 5 - Take part in the public examination

### 5.1. Your comments will be taken into account by the Planning Inspector. Would you like to take part in the forthcoming Public Examination?

- Yes  No

N.B. The Planning Inspector will decide the best way to hear from those who wish to take part in the examination

## Part 6 - Future updates

### 6.1. Would you like to be notified of any of the following? (Please tick as appropriate)

- The Submission of the Plan(s) for Public Examination  
 The Adoption of the Plan(s)

Please sign and date this form

Signature:

Date: 5/11/2015

Thank you for taking the time to give your comments on the Leeds Site Allocations Plan and/or Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan.


If you would like to make another comment on another site or different part of the Plan (s), please use a separate response form.

Leeds Site Allocations Plan and  
Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan  
Publication Draft



## Response form

22nd September to 16th November 2015



*PD400562*  
**Your city. Your plan.**

Working with you to find the best locations for new homes, jobs, greenspace and retail.

These Plans are now at Publication stage and this is your chance to comment on them before they are examined by a Planning Inspector. We would like to hear your views on the Soundness and Legal Compliance of the Plans.

Any terms we've underlined are explained in the **guidance notes**. Please read these before completing this form. **Interactive versions of the maps and this form can be found at [www.leeds.gov.uk/yourcity](http://www.leeds.gov.uk/yourcity).**

#### How to find out more about and comment on the two Plans:

- The easiest way to take part is online at [www.leeds.gov.uk/yourcity](http://www.leeds.gov.uk/yourcity), where you will find a link to the interactive site maps and consultation material.

- At your local Library, One Stop Centre, or Leeds City Council Leonardo Building reception in the city centre
- You can also return completed response forms to:  
Site Allocations: [sap@leeds.gov.uk](mailto:sap@leeds.gov.uk)  
Aire Valley Leeds AAP: [avlaap@leeds.gov.uk](mailto:avlaap@leeds.gov.uk)  
or via post to:  
LDF Publication Draft Consultation  
Forward Planning & Implementation  
The Leonardo Building  
2 Rossington Street  
Leeds, LS2 8HD  
Should you need help please phone us on (0113) 247 8092

**■ We need to receive your comments by 5pm, 16th November 2015 ■**

#### Data Protection

The council is required by law to publish the comments you send us about the Plans, including your name and postal address. Your comments will be made available for the public to read in council offices and online. Your telephone number, email address, and signature will not be published. In addition, the council is required to provide all information submitted to us, including all personal information, to the Planning Inspectorate and their designated Programme Officer as part of the public examination of the Site Allocations and Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plans. The Planning Inspectorate may use your personal information to contact you during the public examination process. All data provided to the Planning Inspectorate and their programme officer will be shared in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. Please note that we cannot provide anonymity or accept comments marked 'private' or 'confidential'. Comments that include offensive, racist, discriminatory, threatening and other non-relevant statements will be destroyed.

Ref:

(For Official Use Only)

## Part 1 - Your details

\*This information must be completed

	Personal details / Client details	Agent details <i>Only complete if you are an agent</i>
Title	MR	
First name*	MARTIN	
Last name*	TARPEY	
Job title <i>(where relevant)</i>		
Organisation <i>(where relevant)</i>		
Address*	33 RAKEHILL ROAD SCHOLLS LEEDS.	
Post code*	LS15 4AL	
Phone/Mobile		
Email <i>(We'd prefer to contact you by e-mail)</i>		

## Part 2 - What comment do you wish to make?

Please use a separate response form for each site/ part of the Plan(s) you wish to comment on.

### 2.1. Which Plan do your comments relate to?

Leeds Site Allocations Plan  Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan

### 2.2. Which section of the Plan do your comments relate to?

#### a. A specific site/designation in the Plan

Site reference from the document or Map  
(e.g. HG2-1 (3026))

H3-13 Scholls

Do you agree with the proposed use of this site?

Yes  No

Please tick all the themes you wish to comment on;

- Ecology/Landscape/Tree(s)  Local services/facilities  Schools  
 Conservation/Heritage  Loss of Greenbelt  
 Highways/transport  Site Boundary *(please submit a revised boundary)*  
 Other *(please specify)*

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 a - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

### b. Another part of the Plan

Title of document (e.g. Publication Plan, background paper, sustainability appraisal)

Policy Ref. (e.g. - RTC1)

Paragraph Number

Diagram / Inset Map

Other

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 b - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

### c. A site previously considered and not allocated in the plan

*(See Housing & Employment Background Paper)*

Reference No (e.g. SHLAA ref)

Address

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 c - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

### d. A new site which has not been considered. Please attach a site plan.

Address

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 d - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

## Part 3 - Is the Plan sound?

At this stage, before the Plan is sent to the Secretary of State for Public Examination, we are asking for your views about the 'soundness' of the plan. An independent Inspector will examine the plan against the 'tests of soundness' (Please read the guidance notes on how to complete this section)

### 3.1. Do you consider the plan to be sound?

Yes (go to Q3.3)  No (go to Q3.2)

### 3.2. Which test of soundness are your comments about? (You must select at least one option)

- Positively Prepared  Effective  
 Justified  Consistency with National Policy

### 3.3. Please set out why you think the Plan is sound / unsound? Your comments should briefly cover all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support or justify your view. It helps us if you can use subheadings to deal with specific issues. Please continue on a separate sheet if you need to. There may not be another opportunity to make further comments before the plan is sent to examination.

Please see enclosed submission.

### 3.4. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan sound.

You will need to say why this change will make the Plan. It helps us if you can be precise as possible and providing any suggested revised wording. Please continue on a separate sheet if you need to.

Statement by Martin Tarpey and Nesta Tarpey

We are dismayed that following the recent emphatic rejection of the building proposals at Scholes, this issue is now being re-considered.

My wife and I reject these proposals which are contrary to the Draft Core Strategy and support Leeds City Council suggestions and plans.

The Site Allocations Plan in Scholes should be retained to consider the scale and location of any planned developments.

The LPA considers it premature to release this site for housing development.

The site location means that the proposal does not fulfil the criteria set out in the interim delivery policy of 2013. The essential need is for supporting infrastructure.

Scholes lacks the drainage, sewage capacity, road structure, highway structure and public transport to sustain further development. It has pressing medical, transport and educational needs.

The present village would be overwhelmed if this large scale development went ahead. Headley Hall proposals should be re-considered.

We support our local councillors who are trying to protect and sustain the village of Scholes. Large scale development is unsuitable and inappropriate.

Martin Tarpey

Nesta Tarpey

5/11/15

---