

LDF Publication Draft Consultation,
Forward Planning & Implementation,
Leonardo Building,
2 Rossington Street,
Leeds
LS2 8HD

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT			
To	Action	Info	File
11 NOV 2015			
File Number			

RE: LEEDS SITE ALLOCATION PLANS - FORMAL OBJECTION

9th November 2015

Dear Sir / Madam,

As part of the Leeds Site Allocation Plan public consultation process, I would like to formally object to the proposed development of the following sites:

HG2-41 (4240)
HG2-42 (1016)
HG2-43 (5009)
HG2-12 (4054)
HG2-10 (1221)
HG2-9 (3366)
HG-56 (1193A)

PD1100755

- It is my understanding that the proposed development sites are NOT consistent with the national policy, specifically with regard to Green Belt. Leeds must continue to protect our Green Belt and greenfields.

The fields and open green spaces, which are being proposed for development, are important to me because they give me and my family a place to be able to have free time. We can walk our dog, feel like we are not in the city. Pick strawberries and interact with nature. It gives us the breathing space that we need so much in a busy life and environment. Green fields are what gives a place joy and the people a place to experience peace. Green belt land is fundamentally important to quality of life and it should be protected as I always believed it was. However greed and ambition have taken over normal decent humanity. There are plenty of brownfield sites, empty homes and disused commercial buildings. All these should be developed before an attack on the greenbelt is launched. The council cannot say that they have done any of this. They have failed the electorate in favour of profit making construction companies and therefore these sites should continue to be part of Leeds' 'Strategic Green Infrastructure' as identified in the Adopted Core Strategy.

- It is my understanding that the proposed development sites are NOT consistent with the national policy, specifically with regard to infrastructure. Leeds has insufficient policies in place to address the issues that these developments will generate. Development and infrastructure requirements must be considered side by side.

Development of these sites on greenfields will lead to nearby villages being engulfed within the 'Main Urban Area' and they will no longer be separate 'Major Settlements' of their own. Reference, Adopted Core Strategy (Map 3, Settlement Hierarchy).

My concerns include the lack of school places, the effects on the doctors surgeries, the hospitals, the roads and the pollution the increased number of cars will produce. How villages

and towns will become one area and become urban sprawl. If they are not careful they will just be building the slums of the future. Huge housing estates that in the past would be the size of an entire village themselves, but this time it is just houses, no school, no doctors, no church, no pub, no shop, no restaurant....just house after house after house and the majority on the greenbelt land, so these people also have no recreational places left either.

I hope that someone out there will see and hear sense and listen to the public objections and save our city and our greenbelt.

Please keep me informed of the public examinations, submission of the plans and potential outcome of the review as I would like to retain my right to attend and speak.

Yours faithfully,

I would regret
to see the ~~fields~~ fields
to be gone.