



**Comment on Leeds Site Allocation Plan.**

Mrs Barbara Mostyn

26, Dunstarn Lane

Adel  
Leeds LS16 8F

I wish to comment on the Leeds Site Allocation Plan

**Comment on sites already in the plan**

Phase	Plan Ref.	SHLA A	Address	Capacity	Type
Already in Plan	HG1-72	1033	Government Buildings Site, Lawnswood	130	Brown field
Already in Plan	HG1-73	1299a	Bodington Hall	126	Brown field
Already in Plan	HG1-74	687	Dunstarn Lane	28	Green field
Already in plan	HG1-60	685	Tile Lane, Eastmoor	67	Brown field

**Comment.....**

This provides housing for 351 units in mainly brownfield sites, which is acceptable. Put together with the extra housing at Centurian Fields, Sadler Way, and Eastmore, it is a large amount of housing that Adel has provided over the last few years, and shows that Adel has had more than its fair share of extra housing...

the Dunstarn Lane site has various trees with preservation orders on them, these trees should be retained.

**Additional sites outside the Adel Neighbourhood Plan area that we would recommend for development .**

**Plan ref.HG2-34 Farrar Lane**

**Plan ref HG2-30 Site of the Eyrie Pub, Holtdale Approach**

**Plan ref. HG2-31 Land at the corner of Otley Old Road and Holtdale Approach**

**Plan ref. HG2-32 Cookridge Fire station, Otley Old Road**

**Comment.....**Theses are all brown field sites that should be developed before any green field site, and certainly before the use of any green belt land is even considered.

## Sites that we are opposed to for development

Comment on Plan ref. HG2-18

Church Lane Adel

Adel St. John Church is of historic importance, and its setting should not be changed. This site has been turned down by a government inspector in the past, and should not be included now. It is on a very narrow road that is already used as a rat run from Bramhope the road is poorly maintained, and unsuitable for the extra traffic the use of this site would generate.

Comment on Plan ref. HG2-38

Dunstarn Lane

This is GREEN BELT land and should remain so. it is part of the urban lung for Leeds and is very important for wild life. It is used for farming, there are foxes that live on the site, as well as rabbits, newts, bats, and is home to a vast number of birds. Roe deer are regular visitors to the site. It is also very close to the conservation area of Meanwood, and this would be affected if this site were used.

Both these sites would generate extra traffic that would cause pollution and clog up the roads that are already full. There are no facilities for the extra housing, the local schools are full, there is only one small shop this side of the A660, and i understand that the local doctors are also full.

There is no need to use these sites there are no exceptional circumstances to take the sites out of green belt.

The use of both these sites is unsound

## Unallocated sites

Site Address

Site ref. 1243 Back Church Lane former -Rectory Paddock

Site ref. 1246 Back Church Lane- Former Rectory Paddock

Site ref. 1299B Otley Road, Bodington Hall

Site ref. 2052 Tile Lane

Site ref. 3360A Cookridge Golf Club (s)

Site ref. 3360B Cookridge Golf Club (n)

Site ref. 4157 Land East of Sadler Way

Site ref. 4153 Eccup Lane

Site ref. 4159 Otley Road, Adel

Site ref. 4160 Adel Mill

Site ref. 4161 Otley Road

Site ref. Lawnswood Arms

Site ref. 4251 Land at Eccup Lane

Site ref. 1079 Long Causeway

Site ref. 1178B Dunstarn Lane (Land South)

These sites apart from 1299B and the Lawnswood arms site are green belt and should remain so, brownfield sites must be used first.

#### **Comment on any other sites within the Site Allocation Plan**

**There should be no thought of building on Green Belt until all brownfield sites have been used. once the green belt is used it can never be replaced, the heritage of our children is at stake**

#### **Tests of Plan**

**The plan does not take into account the extra facilities that the occupants of these houses will require, extra schooling, doctors, shops, leisure facilities . the extra traffic will create a burdon on the roads, and give us more air pollution. The loss of the green belt would be detrimental to the wildlife of the area, as well as the people who use it, and enjoy seeing it.**

**The type of housing that is being built has not been addressed, the recent developments have been expensive large detached houses, that give maximum profit for the builders, there has been no building that is truly affordable, and certainly for the first time buyer. There is no housing for the elderly who wish to downsize, yet stay in the area.**

**The plan does not show EXCEPTIONAL or SPECIAL circumstances that would allow the GREEN BELT to be redesignated for housing.**

**I wish my comments to be taken into account by the Planning Inspector**