

PD110 1269

To	Author	Date	File
13 NOV 2015			

Dear Sir,

westdale  
westbrook lane

Horsforth  
heeds  
WS 185HT.  
17.10.15.

I am writing to express my concern about the proposed housing developments in Horsforth on our protected Green Belt. I am particularly concerned about the following sites -

HG2-41 Fields South of A65, Horsforth roundabout to the crematorium.

HG2-42 Fields at Broadway and Calverley Lane, Horsforth.

HG2-43 Fields at Horsforth College Campus

HG2-12 Fields at Woodlands Drive, Rawdon.

HG3-2. HG3-3 HG3-4 Fields off A65 Rawdon

I understand that Green Belt was to be maintained except in exceptional circumstances. It should be sustainable for future generations. Once it is built on it is gone for ever. The proposal to include these development sites in the local Plan is NOT CONSISTENT with the national policy. Surely, heeds has to adhere to a national policy. The plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the NPPF. We need to ensure that these sites stay green for future generations. The natural barriers between communities, Rawdon

Horsforth need to be maintained.

The hundreds of houses Leeds proposes to build on Green Belt should not be built here just to meet housing figures.

The A65 takes traffic from further away - Hkley, Menston, Guiseley, Bradford and there does not seem to have been cooperation between Bradford & Leeds Councils.

There is evidence that in the past developers have said they would build shops, schools etc. within their developments and then the development charges after it has started, using the viability clause. These developers should not be allowed to build again within the Leeds area but the planners seem to do nothing about it.

These areas are used for exercise, health and well-being and are necessary in the future.

The wildlife in these areas also need to be protected.

I believe the plans are not justified as they depend too heavily on Green Belt instead of alternative sites. They conflict with both National Policy and Leeds own policy and cannot be justified.

SITE HG2-43 (7.8 Hectares). This site should be redeveloped into much needed primary school and not houses. Part of this site is listed in L.C. College prospectus as a "nature reserve."

Yours faithfully