

Part 1 - Your details

PDH01276

*This information must be completed

Personal details / Client details

Agent details

Only complete if you are an agent

Title

First name*

Last name*

Job title

(where relevant)

Organisation

(where relevant)

Address*

Post code*

Phone/Mobile

Email

(We'd prefer to contact you by e-mail)

Part 2 - What comment do you wish to make?

Please use a separate response form for each site/ part of the Plan(s) you wish to comment on.

2.1. Which Plan do your comments relate to?

- Leeds Site Allocations Plan Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan

2.2. Which section of the Plan do your comments relate to?

a. A specific site/designation in the Plan

Site reference from the document or Map
(e.g. HG2-1 (3026))

Do you agree with the proposed use of this site?

Yes

No

Please tick all the themes you wish to comment on;

- Ecology/Landscape/Tree(s) Local services/facilities Schools
 Conservation/Heritage Loss of Greenbelt
 Highways/transport Site Boundary (please submit a revised boundary)
 Other (please specify)

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 a - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

b. Another part of the Plan

Title of document (e.g. Publication Plan, background paper, sustainability appraisal)

Policy Ref. (e.g. - RTC1)

Paragraph Number

Diagram / Inset Map

Other

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 b - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

c. A site previously considered and not allocated in the plan

(See Housing & Employment Background Paper)

Reference No (e.g. SHLAA ref)

Address

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 c - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

d. A new site which has not been considered. Please attach a site plan.

Address

IF YOU HAVE COMPLETED 2.2 d - PLEASE GO STRAIGHT TO PART 3

Part 3 - Is the Plan sound?

At this stage, before the Plan is sent to the Secretary of State for Public Examination, we are asking for your views about the 'soundness' of the plan. An independent Inspector will examine the plan against the 'tests of soundness' (Please read the guidance notes on how to complete this section)

3.1. Do you consider the plan to be sound?

Yes (go to Q3.3)

No (go to Q3.2)

3.2. Which test of soundness are your comments about? (You must select at least one option)

Positively Prepared

Effective

Justified

Consistency with National Policy

3.3. Please set out why you think the Plan is sound / unsound? Your comments should briefly cover all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support or justify your view. It helps us if you can use subheadings to deal with specific issues. Please continue on a separate sheet if you need to. There may not be another opportunity to make further comments before the plan is sent to examination.

These are not exceptional circumstances, greenbelt should not be used. The removal of this land from greenbelt is unsound, it's not legal & has not previously been consulted on, it is not consistent with national policy, & is against Leeds Core Strategy. This would damage wildlife in the area, reduce biodiversity, & remove a well used amenity.

3.4. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan sound.

You will need to say why this change will make the Plan. It helps us if you can be precise as possible and providing any suggested revised wording. Please continue on a separate sheet if you need to.

This land is a clear boundary between Horsford & Ransden. It has been an amenity for residents, my family has picked strawberries here for years, we have seen the owls & bats, even the occasional deer. It is a useful & beautiful sight when traveling along the ring road - the 'special landscape' in the Leeds Core Strategy. Why wreck our Green Infrastructure?

Part 4 - Is the Plan legally compliant?

Please give evidence in support of the comment you gave in Part 2.

4.1. Do you consider the Plan to be legally compliant?

Yes

No

Don't Know

(Please read the guidance notes on how to complete this section)

4.2. Which part of legal compliance is your comment about?

Local Development Scheme

Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004

Statement of Community Involvement

Sustainability Appraisal Report

Consultation of appropriate Statutory Bodies

Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) Regulations

Duty to Cooperate

4.3. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is/ or is not legally compliant.

Please try to be as precise as possible, using headings to break up your comments and continue on a separate sheet if you need to.

Proper consultation + explanation of alternatives not adequately considered.
There is a need for state homes. There have been huge hold-ups on the ABS this last year, these plans directly will propose additional traffic, homes + a school on this site would add to congestion. This will reduce the biodiversity of the area.

Part 5 - Take part in the public examination

5.1. Your comments will be taken into account by the Planning Inspector. Would you like to take part in the forthcoming Public Examination?

Yes

No

N.B. The Planning Inspector will decide the best way to hear from those who wish to take part in the examination

Part 6 - Future updates

6.1. Would you like to be notified of any of the following? (Please tick as appropriate)

The Submission of the Plan(s) for Public Examination

The Adoption of the Plan(s)

Please sign and date this form

Signature:

Date: 10/11/15

Thank you for taking the time to give your comments on the Leeds Site Allocations Plan and/or Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan.

If you would like to make another comment on another site or different part of the Plan (s), please use a separate response form.