

✓  
PDH01621

Mrs J.M. Staniland  
1 Cragwood Close,  
Horsforth,  
LS18 4RL

12th November 2015

LDF Publication Draft Consultation  
Forward Planning + Implementation  
Leicester Building  
2 Rossington Street  
Leeds LS2 8HD

Dear Sir / Madam,

RE: LDF Publication Draft Allocation Plan

I wish to voice my objections with regard to the proposed development of greenbelt and greenfield sites, in particular:

- HG2 - 41 South of A65 from Horsforth + Rawdon RA to crematorium
- HG2 - 43 Horsforth Campus
- HG2 - 45 Saint Joseph's

I do not consider the Leeds Site Allocation Plan to be sound.

1. The plan is not justified:

- LCC have not done a comprehensive Green Belt review as was asked for by the inspector of the Core Strategy. They have only reviewed Green Belt sites which were selected for development.
- The brown field sites are not yet exhausted in all areas of the city - these should be prioritised over the development of green field and certainly greenbelt sites.
- LCC have not involved communities in the drawing up of their plans.

2. The plan is not positively prepared.

- The A65 is already severely congested and it is difficult to reach the necessary facilities in the local area; adding over a thousand extra houses will cause gridlock and increase accidents.
- For each site Leeds has assessed what infrastructure is present now, ie how far the site is away from a school, bus stop, doctor's surgery etc. but made no recommendations as to what needs to be put in place to accommodate the new households.
- The current housing target of over 70,000 properties is not in line with the latest 2014 figures from the Office of National Statistics which show a need of only 46,000 new homes.

3. The plan is not effective.

- It would appear that Leeds and Bradford are not collaborating when planning where housing is to be built in terms of roads and traffic congestion. Developments in Menston, Burley and Apperley Bridge will all impact on the A65 + not enough consideration is being given to this.

- NPF states that majority of communities is to be preserved, yet development of industrial units.
- The proposed development would directly impact on these and a vast array of local sites will now face Harfgarh, Rawdon + Rotherham with loss of their historical significance.
- Local residents living close to the site are of proposed development
- As a local resident living close to the site I am fully aware that the application of planning laws would not necessarily have a significant impact on my life and I feel they will have a significant impact on my life and possibly hope that the application of planning laws would not be enforced.
- Local government authorities have been made to sign up with the community to help deal with the impact of the proposed development of Harfgarh.
- No genuine attempt has been made to engage with the community to help but just a slight fear a local community group.
- Local groups are involved in liaison at library and other venue nearby LCC.
- I was informed of the 8 week consultation period and the fact that LCC have set aside this day of Community Involvement.
- This will be best forever.
- Local residents affected along the A65 primarily benefit to our health and well-being. They also along the A65 provide links between people apart from the people living in the area and in the local town. If developed on, this consultation will see the number of visitors of Harfgarh local roadscape affected along the A65.
- Local groups apart is very precious to the people living in the area and in addition to our health and well-being. They also along the A65 provide links to our health and well-being. They also along the A65 provide links to our health and well-being. They also along the A65 provide links to our health and well-being.