

12th November 2015,

LDF Publication Draft Consultation,
Forward Planning + Implementation,
The Leonardo Building,
2, Rossington St.,
Leeds, LS2 8HD.

PDH01877

Dear Sir,

Leeds Site Allocation Plan -

Site References: H2-28 (Scholes, Belle Vue Road)
H3-12 (Scholes, Wood Lane)
H3-13 (East of Scholes)
MX2-33 (Headley Hall).

I do not agree with the proposed development of Sites H2-28, H3-12 and H3-13 for the following reasons:

1. Scholes would no longer be a village, but would be a large suburb of Leeds.
2. Surrounding countryside, much used by local people & others further afield for recreational purposes, would be lost.
3. Agricultural land would be lost.
4. The existing primary school in Scholes is already oversubscribed. It would not be able to accommodate the considerable number of extra children there would be from the proposed development.
5. Main Street + Station Road in Scholes already have problems with parking, especially outside the school, & speeding. This, the only

2

route through the village, is unsuitable for the extra traffic that would be generated by the proposed development.

6. Entrance/exit roads to & from Scholes would be inadequate to take all the extra traffic that would be generated from the proposed development.
7. The existing bus service is poor.
8. Mains drainage & sewage are already inadequate after prolonged heavy rain, resulting in flooding from manhole covers near St. Philip's Church.

I do agree with ^{development of} you to, develop Site MX 2-33 for the following reasons:

1. Development at Headley Hall would create a new community well away from existing settlements, & would therefore not spoil Scholes or other East Leeds villages, or the countryside surrounding them.
2. I understand mains services are already laid on at this site.
3. I understand there are already adequate access roads to this site.
4. This site is very conveniently situated near to both the A1 & the A64.

Yours faithfully,

[Redacted Signature]